## Galactic foregrounds and scattering transform

## Erwan Allys, École Normale Supérieure - LRA with F. Boulanger, F. Levrier, S. Mallat, S. Zhang, and other...

## XIIIth School of Cosmology, IESC Cargese November 16th 2017



## Outline

## 1 Galactic foregrounds and CMB polarization

- 2 Beyond Power-Spectrum
- 3 Scattering transform

### • The microwave sky



#### • The microwave sky



- $\blacktriangleright\,$  CMB : Gaussian, isotropic, single-temperature blackbody spectrum
- ► Galactic foregrounds: highly non-Gaussian and anisotropic (multi-scale, with turbulence and intermittency)

#### • Polarization and B-modes



#### • Polarization and B-modes



► Expected signal (much) lower than foregrounds ⇒ Need a very precise description of the foregrounds

#### • Polarization and B-modes



- ► Expected signal (much) lower than foregrounds ⇒ Need a very precise description of the foregrounds
- ▶ Foreground from magnetized and turbulent ISM ⇒ The description has to be statistical





• Goal: Achieve a satisfactory statistical description of the Galactic magnetized and turbulent ISM



## • Satisfactory statistical description $\Phi$

- ▶ Extract information from observations
- ▶ Link between micro-physics and statistical properties
- ▶ Allow syntheses without expensive numerical simulations

## • Satisfactory statistical description $\Phi$

- Extract information from observations
- ▶ Link between micro-physics and statistical properties
- ▶ Allow syntheses without expensive numerical simulations

#### • Interest with respect to pure machine learning

- Optimization  $\Rightarrow$  Computer-time and data-bank
- Not a black-box  $\Rightarrow$  link with micro-physics
- Sparsity

# Outline

1 Galactic foregrounds and CMB polarization

**2** Beyond Power-Spectrum

3 Scattering transform

#### • Power-Spectrum does not encode interactions between scales



## • What would be a good representation ?

- ▶ Go beyond Power-Spectrum
- Lipschitz continuous to deformations
- Don't put too much information in the phases

### • What would be a good representation ?

- ▶ Go beyond Power-Spectrum
- Lipschitz continuous to deformations
- Don't put too much information in the phases

## • Inconvenience of Fourier transform

- Non-localized
- ▶ A lot of information in phases
- High-frequency instabilities

#### • Fourier transforms and high-frequencies



#### • Fourier transforms and high-frequencies





#### • Fourier transforms and high-frequencies



▶ Wavelet transform ?

- ▶ Wavelet transform ?
- ▶ Not sufficient... reduces more-or-less to smart Power-Spectrum
- ▶ Will be the basic construction tool

- Wavelet transform ?
- ▶ Not sufficient... reduces more-or-less to smart Power-Spectrum
- ▶ Will be the basic construction tool

## • Ratio of frequency amplitudes

- Describe the shape of the signal
- Examples in speech or in vision

- Wavelet transform ?
- ▶ Not sufficient... reduces more-or-less to smart Power-Spectrum
- ▶ Will be the basic construction tool

## • Ratio of frequency amplitudes

- Describe the shape of the signal
- Examples in speech or in vision

## • $\Rightarrow$ Successive Wavelet transforms

# Outline

1 Galactic foregrounds and CMB polarization

2 Beyond Power-Spectrum

**3** Scattering transform

- $\blacktriangleright$  Decomposed in layers of indices m
- m = 0: Average of the signal

- Decomposed in layers of indices m
- m = 0: Average of the signal
- $\blacktriangleright m = 1: |x \star \psi_{\lambda_1, \theta_1}|$

- Decomposed in layers of indices m
- m = 0: Average of the signal
- $\blacktriangleright m = 1: |x \star \psi_{\lambda_1, \theta_1}|$

- Decomposed in layers of indices m
- m = 0: Average of the signal
- $\blacktriangleright m = 1: |x \star \psi_{\lambda_1, \theta_1}|$
- $\blacktriangleright m = 2: ||x \star \psi_{\lambda_1, \theta_1}| \star \psi_{\lambda_2, \theta_2}|$
- ▶ ...

- Decomposed in layers of indices m
- m = 0: Average of the signal
- $\blacktriangleright m = 1: |x \star \psi_{\lambda_1, \theta_1}|$
- $\blacktriangleright m = 2: ||x \star \psi_{\lambda_1, \theta_1}| \star \psi_{\lambda_2, \theta_2}|$
- ► ...

## • Properties

▶ Good behavior under deformations, energy conservation, ...

- Decomposed in layers of indices m
- m = 0: Average of the signal
- $\blacktriangleright m = 1: |x \star \psi_{\lambda_1, \theta_1}|$
- $\blacktriangleright m = 2: ||x \star \psi_{\lambda_1, \theta_1}| \star \psi_{\lambda_2, \theta_2}|$
- ► ...

## • Properties

- ▶ Good behavior under deformations, energy conservation, ...
- Most of the information contained in  $m \leq 2$

- Decomposed in layers of indices m
- m = 0: Average of the signal
- $\blacktriangleright m = 1: |x \star \psi_{\lambda_1, \theta_1}|$
- $\blacktriangleright m = 2: ||x \star \psi_{\lambda_1, \theta_1}| \star \psi_{\lambda_2, \theta_2}|$
- ► ...

## • Properties

- ▶ Good behavior under deformations, energy conservation, ...
- Most of the information contained in  $m \leq 2$
- Order m contains moments up to order  $2^m$

- Decomposed in layers of indices m
- m = 0: Average of the signal
- $\blacktriangleright m = 1: |x \star \psi_{\lambda_1, \theta_1}|$
- $\blacktriangleright m = 2: ||x \star \psi_{\lambda_1, \theta_1}| \star \psi_{\lambda_2, \theta_2}|$

▶ ...

## • Properties

- ▶ Good behavior under deformations, energy conservation, ...
- Most of the information contained in  $m \leq 2$
- Order m contains moments up to order  $2^m$
- No significant information loss by  $L_1$  norm

#### • Example of syntheses



## Conclusion

- Galactic foregrounds with magnetized turbulent ISM require an advanced statistical description. Necessity to go beyond Power Spectrum
- Interaction with data scientists: scattering transform
- Link with micro-physics ? Extraction of information from observations ? Cheap realizations from statistical syntheses ?
- Work in progress

## Thanks for your attention !