#### Bubble Observers in Bubbland Local Observables in a Landscape of Infrared Gauge Modes



Federico Urban

Université Libre de Bruxelles

May 12th, 2014

## Bubble Zero

- i Inflation, AKA Bubbland
- ii Vectors & Anisotropies
- iii IR Fluctuations & Bias
- iv Background Precession
- v Results

M Thursrud, D Mota, F Urban, arXiv:1311.3302 M Thursrud, F Urban, D Mota, arXiv:1312.7491

## Bubble One







- $\mathcal{N}$  that is, e-folds measure duration
- Total size of Bubbland is  $e^{3\mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{TOT}}}$



- $\mathcal{N}$  that is, e-folds measure duration
- Total size of Bubbland is  $e^{3\mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{TOT}}}$
- Our observable Bubble instead covers  $e^{3\mathcal{N}_0}$



- $\mathcal{N}$  that is, e-folds measure duration
- Total size of Bubbland is  $e^{3\mathcal{N}_{\text{TOT}}}$
- Our observable Bubble instead covers  $e^{3\mathcal{N}_0}$
- Ergo, there are  $e^{3(\mathcal{N}_{TOT}-\mathcal{N}_0)}$  Bubbles



- $\mathcal{N}$  that is, e-folds measure duration
- Total size of Bubbland is  $e^{3\mathcal{N}_{\text{TOT}}}$
- Our observable Bubble instead covers  $e^{3\mathcal{N}_0}$
- Ergo, there are  $e^{3(\mathcal{N}_{TOT}-\mathcal{N}_0)}$  Bubbles
- Now: quantum fluctuations are a statistical object...





• Superhorizon waves may *bias* our Hubble Bobble



- Superhorizon waves may *bias* our Hubble Bobble
- 🧟 Q: Is this bias observable?



- Superhorizon waves may *bias* our Hubble Bobble
- 🧟 Q: Is this bias observable? A: Perhaps... 🥵



• Throw in a (bunch of) spectator vector fields  $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}$ 

- Throw in a (bunch of) spectator vector fields  $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}$
- Working example:  $\mathcal{L} = f^2(\varphi) F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$ 
  - No Ghosts
  - No Gauge Breaking

- Throw in a (bunch of) spectator vector fields  $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}$
- Working example:  $\mathcal{L} = f^2(\varphi) F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$ 
  - No Ghosts
  - No Gauge Breaking
- This possesses an attractor solution:  $f \sim a^{-2}$

- Throw in a (bunch of) spectator vector fields  $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}$
- Working example:  $\mathcal{L} = f^2(\varphi) F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$ 
  - No Ghosts
  - No Gauge Breaking
- This possesses an attractor solution:  $f \sim a^{-2}$
- Look at superhorizon vector perturbations:
  - $\circ~$  The electric field  $\mathcal{E}\sim\partial\mathcal{A}\sim const$
  - $\circ~$  The vector survives inflationary pull
  - The vector classicalises

- Throw in a (bunch of) spectator vector fields  $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}$
- Working example:  $\mathcal{L} = f^2(\varphi) F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$ 
  - No Ghosts
  - No Gauge Breaking
- This possesses an attractor solution:  $f \sim a^{-2}$
- Look at superhorizon vector perturbations:
  - $\circ~$  The electric field  $\mathcal{E}\sim\partial\mathcal{A}\sim const$
  - The vector survives inflationary pull
  - The vector classicalises

$$\implies \vec{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathsf{IR}}(\eta) = \int_{\mathsf{dawn of time}}^{\mathcal{H}} \mathsf{d}^3 k \ e^{-i\vec{k}\vec{x}} \ \delta\vec{\mathcal{E}}(\vec{k})$$

## Infrared Statistics – Single Vector

- We are limited UV observers, so we do not directly probe  $\vec{\mathcal{E}}_{IR}$
- This becomes a statistical object which inherits Gaussianity

 $\langle ec{\mathcal{E}_{\mathsf{IR}}} \cdot ec{\mathcal{E}_{\mathsf{IR}}} 
angle \simeq \mathcal{H}^4 \mathcal{N}$ 

## Infrared Statistics – Single Vector

- We are limited UV observers, so we do not directly probe  $\vec{\mathcal{E}}_{IR}$
- This becomes a statistical object which inherits Gaussianity

 $\langle \vec{\mathcal{E}}_{IR} \cdot \vec{\mathcal{E}}_{IR} \rangle \simeq \mathcal{H}^4 \mathcal{N}$ 

Spectrum  $\mathcal{P}_{\zeta}(\vec{k}) = \mathcal{P}^{0}_{\zeta}(k) \left[1 + g(k) \cos^{2} \vartheta\right]$ 

## Infrared Statistics – Single Vector

- We are limited UV observers, so we do not directly probe  $\vec{\mathcal{E}}_{IR}$
- This becomes a statistical object which inherits Gaussianity

 $\langle \vec{\mathcal{E}}_{IR} \cdot \vec{\mathcal{E}}_{IR} \rangle \simeq \mathcal{H}^4 \mathcal{N}$ 

Spectrum

$$\mathcal{P}_{\zeta}(ec{k}) = \mathcal{P}^{0}_{\zeta}(k) \left[1 + g(k)\cos^{2}artheta
ight]$$

One parameter: Amplitude  $g(k) \sim -|\mathcal{E}_{\mathsf{IR}}(\eta_0)|^2 \mathcal{N}_k^2$ 

## **Probability Distributions**





• Tweedledum leaves the horizon at  $\eta_1 = -1/k_1$ It sees  $ec{\mathcal{E}_{\mathsf{IR}}}(\eta_1)$  made of all  $k < k_1$ 

- Tweedledum leaves the horizon at  $\eta_1 = -1/k_1$ It sees  $\vec{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathsf{IR}}(\eta_1)$  made of all  $k < k_1$
- As Tweedledee approaches  ${\cal H}$  more modes add up to  $\vec{{\cal E}_{\rm IR}}$

- Tweedledum leaves the horizon at  $\eta_1 = -1/k_1$ It sees  $\vec{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathsf{IR}}(\eta_1)$  made of all  $k < k_1$
- As Tweedledee approaches  ${\cal H}$  more modes add up to  $\vec{{\cal E}_{\rm IR}}$
- Tweedledee leaves the horizon at  $\eta_2 = -1/k_2$ It sees  $\vec{\mathcal{E}}_{IR}(\eta_2) \neq \vec{\mathcal{E}}_{IR}(\eta_1)$  made of all  $k < k_2$

- Tweedledum leaves the horizon at  $\eta_1 = -1/k_1$ It sees  $\vec{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathsf{IR}}(\eta_1)$  made of all  $k < k_1$
- As Tweedledee approaches  ${\cal H}$  more modes add up to  $\vec{{\cal E}_{\rm IR}}$
- Tweedledee leaves the horizon at  $\eta_2 = -1/k_2$ It sees  $\vec{\mathcal{E}}_{IR}(\eta_2) \neq \vec{\mathcal{E}}_{IR}(\eta_1)$  made of all  $k < k_2$

 $\Rightarrow~\vec{\mathcal{E}}_{IR}$  will be pointing in a different direction

The background vector makes a random walk in direction space



The background vector makes a random walk in direction space



The effect is highly suppressed due to the multitude of Bubbles

The background vector makes a random walk in direction space



The effect is highly suppressed due to the multitude of Bubbles Seven e-folds make a hundred thousand millions Bubbles

The background vector makes a random walk in direction space



The effect is highly suppressed due to the multitude of Bubbles Seven e-folds make a hundred thousand millions Bubbles

So, a three hundred thousandth statistical suppression

The background vector makes a random walk in direction space



The effect is highly suppressed due to the multitude of Bubbles Seven e-folds make a hundred thousand millions Bubbles So, a three hundred thousandth statistical suppression Poor little thing...

## Infrared Statistics – Multiple Vector

Multiple vector case – identical coupling:  $\mathcal{L} = \sum_{a} f^{2}(\varphi) F^{a}_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}_{a}$ 

### Infrared Statistics – Multiple Vector

Multiple vector case – identical coupling:  $\mathcal{L} = \sum_{a} f^{2}(\varphi) F^{a}_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}_{a}$ 



### Infrared Statistics – Multiple Vector

Multiple vector case – identical coupling:  $\mathcal{L} = \sum_{a} f^{2}(\varphi) F^{a}_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}_{a}$ 



## Probability Distributions I



# Probability Distributions II



X

How Likely Are We?



n

# Summary

- Inflation generates Bubbland
  - Bubbland is comprised of a multitude of Bubbles
  - As observers, we have access to only one Bubble
  - Our link with "The Theory" is statistical observations are biassed
- Vectors generate anisotropies
  - Spectator gauge fields can develop into a classical vector background
  - Curvature perturbations are quadrupole-modulated:  $\mathcal{P}^{0}_{\zeta}(k) \left[1 + g(k) \cos^{2} \vartheta\right]$
  - (Non-)Observations of g(k) put statistical constraints on  $\mathcal{N}$
- The precession effect
  - The background vector is not a constant, but precesses with time
  - In the multi-vector case this produces two important features:
    - a. The quadrupole amplitude g(k) can be *positive*
    - b. We need one further shape parameter  $\chi$  to describe the correction:

 $\mathcal{P}^{0}_{\zeta}(k)\left[1+g(k)\left(\mathcal{A}_{\hat{k}}\cos\chi+\mathcal{B}_{\hat{k}}\sin\chi\right)\right]$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  All in all, living in the Bubble can be quite deceiving  $\leftarrow$  bottom line