Massive spin-2 field in arbitrary spacetimes

Mikhail S. Volkov

Institut Denis Poisson, University of Tours, FRANCE

Cargèse, Spontaneous Workshop XII 14-th May 2018

C.Mazuet and M.S.V., Phys.Rev. D96, 124023 (2017); arXiv: 1804.01970

- Massive gravitons in curved space
- Cosmology
- Black holes

Massive fields in curved space

Spin 0. One has in Minkowski space

$$\eta^{\mu
u}\partial_{\mu}\partial_{
u}\Phi=M^{2}\Phi$$

To pass to curved space one replaces

$$\eta_{\mu\nu} \Rightarrow \mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu}, \qquad \partial_{\mu} \Rightarrow \nabla_{\mu}$$

which gives

$$g^{\mu
u}
abla_{\mu}
abla_{
u}\Phi=M^{2}\Phi$$

Similarly for spins 1/2 (Dirac), 1 (Proca), 3/2 (Rarita-Schwinger).

The procedure fails for the massive spin 2.

Massive spin 2 in flat space

Fierz-Pauli equations

$$\begin{split} E_{\mu\nu} &\equiv \partial^{\sigma}\partial_{\mu}h_{\sigma\nu} + \partial^{\sigma}\partial_{\nu}h_{\sigma\mu} - \Box h_{\mu\nu} - \partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}h \\ &+ \eta_{\mu\nu}(\Box h - \partial^{\alpha}\partial^{\beta}h_{\alpha\beta}) + M^{2}(h_{\mu\nu} - \lambda h \eta_{\mu\nu}) = 0 \end{split}$$

which imply 4 vector constraints

$$\mathcal{C}_{\nu} \equiv \partial^{\mu} E_{\mu\nu} = M^2 (\partial^{\mu} h_{\mu\nu} - \lambda \partial_{\nu} h) = 0,$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_5 &= (\partial^{\mu}\partial^{\nu} + M^2\eta^{\mu\nu})E_{\mu\nu} \\ &= M^2(1-\lambda)\Box h + M^4(1-4\lambda) h = 0 \end{aligned}$$

which becomes constraint if $\lambda = 1$,

$$\mathcal{C}_5=-3M^2\ h=0.$$

Fierz-Pauli: $\lambda = 1$

$$(\Box + M^2)h_{\mu
u} = 0,$$

 $\partial^{\mu}h_{\mu
u} = 0,$
 $h = 0,$

 \Rightarrow 10 - 5 = 5 propagating DoF. For $\lambda \neq 1$ there are 6 DoF. Passing to curved space via $\eta_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow g_{\mu\nu}$ and $\partial_{\mu} \rightarrow \nabla_{\mu}$ yields

$$\begin{split} E_{\mu\nu} &\equiv \nabla^{\sigma} \nabla_{\mu} h_{\sigma\nu} + \nabla^{\sigma} \nabla_{\nu} h_{\sigma\mu} - \Box h_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} h \\ &+ g_{\mu\nu} (\Box h - \nabla^{\alpha} \nabla^{\beta} h_{\alpha\beta}) + M^2 (h_{\mu\nu} - h g_{\mu\nu}) = 0. \end{split}$$

This implies the 5 constraints

$$\mathcal{C}_{\nu} \equiv
abla^{\mu} E_{\mu
u} = M^2 (
abla^{\mu} h_{\mu
u} -
abla_{
u} h) = 0,$$

 $\mathcal{C}_5 = (
abla^{\mu}
abla^{
u} + M^2 g^{\mu
u}) E_{\mu
u} = -3M^4 h = 0.$

ONLY in Einstein spaces, if $R_{\mu\nu} = \Lambda g_{\mu\nu}$. For $R_{\mu\nu} \neq \Lambda g_{\mu\nu}$ there are 5+1 DoF \Rightarrow ghost is present.

Linear theory from the nonlinear one

Let $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $f_{\mu\nu}$ be the physical and reference metrics and

$$\gamma^{\mu}_{\sigma}\gamma^{\sigma}_{\nu} = g^{\mu\sigma}f_{\sigma\nu}, \qquad \gamma_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\sigma}\gamma^{\sigma}_{\nu}, \qquad [\gamma] = \gamma^{\sigma}_{\sigma}.$$

The equations are /dRGT, 2010/

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_{\mu\nu} &\equiv G_{\mu\nu}(g) + \beta_0 \, g_{\mu\nu} + \beta_1([\gamma] \, g_{\mu\nu} - \gamma_{\mu\nu}) \\ &+ \beta_2 \, |\gamma| \left([\gamma^{-1}] \, \gamma_{\mu\nu}^{-1} - \gamma_{\mu\nu}^{-2} \right) + \beta_3 \, |\gamma| \, \gamma_{\mu\nu}^{-1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Ghost-free massive gravity

Let $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $f_{\mu\nu}$ be the physical and reference metrics and

$$\gamma^{\mu}_{\sigma}\gamma^{\sigma}_{\nu} = g^{\mu\sigma}f_{\sigma\nu}, \qquad \gamma_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\sigma}\gamma^{\sigma}_{\nu}, \qquad [\gamma] = \gamma^{\sigma}_{\sigma}.$$

The equations are /dRGT, 2010/

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_{\mu\nu} &\equiv G_{\mu\nu}(g) + \beta_0 \, g_{\mu\nu} + \beta_1([\gamma] \, g_{\mu\nu} - \gamma_{\mu\nu}) \\ &+ \beta_2 \, |\gamma| \left([\gamma^{-1}] \, \gamma_{\mu\nu}^{-1} - \gamma_{\mu\nu}^{-2} \right) + \beta_3 \, |\gamma| \, \gamma_{\mu\nu}^{-1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Perturbing $g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow g_{\mu\nu} + \delta g_{\mu\nu}$ yields $\mathbf{E}_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{\mu\nu} + \delta \mathbf{E}_{\mu\nu}$ with

 $\delta \mathbf{E}_{\mu\nu} = \delta G_{\mu\nu} + \beta_0 \, \delta g_{\mu\nu} + \beta_1 ([\delta \gamma] \, g_{\mu\nu} + [\gamma] \, \delta g_{\mu\nu} - \delta \gamma_{\mu\nu}) + \dots$

where $\delta \gamma^{\mu}_{\ \sigma} \gamma^{\sigma}_{\ \nu} + \gamma^{\mu}_{\ \sigma} \delta \gamma^{\sigma}_{\ \nu} = \delta g^{\mu\sigma} f_{\sigma\nu} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \delta \gamma \gamma + \gamma \delta \gamma = \delta g^{-1} f.$

Solution for $\delta \gamma$ in terms of δg is very complicated /Deffayet et al./

Ghost-free massive gravity in tetrad formalism

Introducing two tetrads $e^a_{\ \mu}$ and $\phi^a_{\ \mu}$ such that

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{ab} e^a_{\ \mu} e^b_{\ \nu}, \qquad f_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{ab} \phi^a_{\ \mu} \phi^b_{\ \nu},$$

one has

$$\gamma^{a}_{\ b} = \phi^{a}_{\ \sigma} e^{\ \sigma}_{b}, \qquad \gamma_{ab} = \eta_{ac} \gamma^{c}_{\ b} = \gamma_{ba}$$

and the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_{ab} &\equiv G_{ab} + \frac{\beta_0}{\eta_{ab}} \eta_{ab} + \frac{\beta_1}{\eta_{ab}} ([\gamma] \eta_{ab} - \gamma_{ab}) \\ &+ \frac{\beta_2}{\eta_2} |\gamma| \left([\gamma^{-1}] \gamma_{ab}^{-1} - \gamma_{ab}^{-2} \right) + \frac{\beta_3}{\eta_2} |\gamma| \gamma_{ab}^{-1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The idea is to linearize with respect to tetrad perturbations

$$e^a_{\ \mu}
ightarrow e^a_{\ \mu} + \delta e^a_{\ \mu}$$
 with $\delta e^a_{\ \mu} = X^a_{\ b} e^b_{\ \mu}$

and then project to $e_a{}^\mu$ and express everything in terms of

$$X_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{ab} e^{a}_{\ \mu} \delta e^{b}_{\ \nu} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \delta g_{\mu\nu} = X_{\mu\nu} + X_{\nu\mu}$$

Equations in the generic case

$$E_{\mu
u}\equiv\Delta_{\mu
u}+\mathcal{M}_{\mu
u}=0$$

with the kinetic term

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2} \nabla^{\sigma} \nabla_{\mu} (X_{\sigma\nu} + X_{\nu\sigma}) + \frac{1}{2} \nabla^{\sigma} \nabla_{\nu} (X_{\sigma\mu} + X_{\mu\sigma}) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \Box (X_{\mu\nu} + X_{\nu\mu}) - \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} X \\ &+ g_{\mu\nu} \left(\Box X - \nabla^{\alpha} \nabla^{\beta} X_{\alpha\beta} + R^{\alpha\beta} X_{\alpha\beta} \right) \\ &- R^{\sigma}_{\mu} X_{\sigma\nu} - R^{\sigma}_{\nu} X_{\sigma\mu} \end{split}$$

and the mass term

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mu\nu} = \beta_{1} \left(\gamma^{\sigma}_{\ \mu} X_{\sigma\nu} - g_{\mu\nu} \gamma^{\alpha\beta} X_{\alpha\beta} \right) \\ + \beta_{2} \left\{ -\gamma^{\alpha}_{\ \mu} \gamma^{\beta}_{\ \nu} X_{\alpha\beta} - (\gamma^{2})^{\alpha}_{\ \mu} X_{\alpha\nu} + \gamma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_{\alpha\beta} X^{\alpha\beta} \right. \\ \left. + \left[\gamma \right] \gamma^{\alpha}_{\ \beta} X_{\alpha\nu} + \left((\gamma^{2})_{\alpha\beta} X^{\alpha\beta} - \left[\gamma \right] \gamma_{\alpha\beta} X^{\alpha\beta} \right) g_{\mu\nu} \right\} \\ + \left. \beta_{3} \left| \gamma \right| \left(X_{\mu\sigma} (\gamma^{-1})^{\sigma}_{\ \nu} - \left[X \right] (\gamma^{-1})_{\mu\nu} \right)$$

Background equations

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\beta_0}{\beta_0} g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_1} ([\gamma] g_{\mu\nu} - \gamma_{\mu\nu}) \\ + \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_2} |\gamma| ([\gamma^{-1}] \gamma_{\mu\nu}^{-1} - \gamma_{\mu\nu}^{-2}) + \frac{\beta_3}{\beta_1} |\gamma| \gamma_{\mu\nu}^{-1} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

can be viewed as cubic algebraic equations for $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$. For any $g_{\mu\nu}$ the solution is

$$\gamma_{\mu\nu}(g) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{3} b_{nk}(\beta_A) R^n (R^k)_{\mu\nu},$$

There are special values of β_A for which the sum is finite.

How many propagating DoF are there ?

There are 16 equations

$$E_{\mu
u}\equiv\Delta_{\mu
u}+\mathcal{M}_{\mu
u}=0$$

for 16 components of $X_{\mu\nu}$. The imply the following 11 conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{5} &= \nabla_{\mu}((\gamma^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{C}_{\nu}) + \frac{\beta_{1}}{2} E^{\alpha}_{\ \alpha} + \beta_{2}\gamma^{\mu\nu}E_{\mu\nu} \\ &+ \beta_{3} \frac{|\gamma|}{g^{00}} \left((\gamma^{-1})^{0\alpha}(\gamma^{-1})^{0\beta} - (\gamma^{-1})^{00}(\gamma^{-1})^{\alpha\beta} \right) \\ &\times \left(E_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta}(E^{\sigma}_{\ \sigma} - \frac{1}{g^{00}} E^{00}) \right) \right) = 0 \Rightarrow \text{ scalar constraint} \end{aligned}$$

The number of DoF is 16 - 6 - 4 - 1 = 5.

Two special models

Background equations

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\mu\nu} &+ \beta_{0} g_{\mu\nu} + \beta_{1} ([\gamma] g_{\mu\nu} - \gamma_{\mu\nu}) \\ &+ \beta_{2} |\gamma| ([\gamma^{-1}] \gamma_{\mu\nu}^{-1} - \gamma_{\mu\nu}^{-2}) + \beta_{3} |\gamma| \gamma_{\mu\nu}^{-1} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

are non-linear in $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$. There are two exceptional cases: <u>Model I</u>: $\beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$,

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\beta_0}{g_{\mu\nu}} g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\beta_1}{([\gamma]g_{\mu\nu} - \gamma_{\mu\nu})},$$

which can be resolved with respect to $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$; <u>Model II</u>: $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$,

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\beta_0}{g_{\mu\nu}} g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\beta_3}{\beta_1} |\gamma| \gamma_{\mu\nu}^{-1} = 0,$$

which can be resolved with respect to $|\gamma|\gamma_{\mu\nu}^{-1}$.

Equations for the two special models

$$E_{\mu
u}\equiv\Delta_{\mu
u}+\mathcal{M}_{\mu
u}=0$$

with the kinetic term

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2} \nabla^{\sigma} \nabla_{\mu} (X_{\sigma\nu} + X_{\nu\sigma}) + \frac{1}{2} \nabla^{\sigma} \nabla_{\nu} (X_{\sigma\mu} + X_{\mu\sigma}) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \Box (X_{\mu\nu} + X_{\nu\mu}) - \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} X \\ &+ g_{\mu\nu} \left(\Box X - \nabla^{\alpha} \nabla^{\beta} X_{\alpha\beta} + R^{\alpha\beta} X_{\alpha\beta} \right) \\ &- R^{\sigma}_{\mu} X_{\sigma\nu} - R^{\sigma}_{\nu} X_{\sigma\mu} \end{split}$$

and the mass term

model I:
$$\mathcal{M}_{\mu\nu} = \gamma_{\mu\alpha}X^{\alpha}_{\ \nu} - g_{\mu\nu}\gamma_{\alpha\beta}X^{\alpha\beta},$$

 $\gamma_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} + \left(M^2 - \frac{R}{6}\right)g_{\mu\nu}; \qquad M^2 = -\beta_0/3$

model II: $\mathcal{M}_{\mu\nu} = -X_{\mu}^{\alpha}\gamma_{\alpha\nu} + X\gamma_{\mu\nu},$ $\gamma_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \left(\mathcal{M}^2 + \frac{R}{2}\right)g_{\mu\nu}, \qquad \mathcal{M}^2 = -\beta_0.$

Action

$$I = \frac{1}{2} \int X^{\nu\mu} E_{\mu\nu} \sqrt{-g} d^4 x \equiv \int L \sqrt{-g} d^4 x$$

(order of indices !) with $L = L_{(2)} + L_{(0)}$ where

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{(2)} = & - & \frac{1}{4} \nabla^{\sigma} \mathcal{X}^{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\mu} \mathcal{X}_{\nu\sigma} + \frac{1}{8} \nabla^{\alpha} \mathcal{X}^{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\alpha} \mathcal{X}_{\mu\nu} \\ & + & \frac{1}{4} \nabla^{\alpha} \mathcal{X} \nabla^{\beta} \mathcal{X}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{8} \nabla_{\alpha} \mathcal{X} \nabla^{\alpha} \mathcal{X} \end{split}$$

with $X_{\mu\nu} = X_{\mu\nu} + X_{\nu\mu}$ and $X = X^{\alpha}_{\alpha}$. One has in model I

$$\begin{split} L_{(0)} = & - & \frac{1}{2} X^{\mu\nu} R^{\sigma}_{\ \mu} X_{\sigma\nu} \\ & + & \frac{1}{2} \left(M^2 - \frac{R}{6} \right) (X_{\mu\nu} X^{\nu\mu} - X^2) \end{split}$$

and in model II

$$\begin{split} L_{(0)} = & - & \frac{1}{2} X^{\mu\nu} R^{\sigma}_{\ \mu} X_{\sigma\nu} - \frac{1}{2} X^{\mu\nu} R^{\sigma}_{\ \nu} X_{\sigma\mu} \\ & - & \frac{1}{2} X^{\mu\nu} X_{\nu\alpha} R^{\alpha}_{\ \mu} + X R_{\mu\nu} X^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \left(M^2 + \frac{R}{2} \right) (X_{\mu\nu} X^{\nu\mu} - X^2) \end{split}$$

Constraints

Algebraic constraints

$$E_{\mu
u}\equiv\Delta_{\mu
u}+\mathcal{M}_{\mu
u}=0$$

are 16 equations for 16 components of $X_{\mu\nu}$. One has $\Delta_{\mu\nu} = \Delta_{\nu\mu}$ hence one should have

$$\mathcal{M}_{[\mu
u]} = 0$$

which yields 6 algebraic conditions

Model I:
$$\gamma_{\mu\alpha}X^{\alpha}_{\ \nu} = \gamma_{\nu\alpha}X^{\alpha}_{\ \mu}$$

Model II: $X^{\ \alpha}_{\mu}\gamma_{\alpha\nu} = X^{\ \alpha}_{\nu}\gamma_{\alpha\mu}$

which reduce the number of independent components of $X_{\mu\nu}$ to 10.

Differential constraints, model I

with

$$\gamma_{\mu
u}=R_{\mu
u}+\left(M^2-rac{R}{6}
ight)g_{\mu
u}$$

one obtains the four vector constraints

$$\mathcal{C}^{
ho} \equiv (\gamma^{-1})^{
ho
u}
abla^{\mu} \mathcal{E}_{\mu
u} =
abla_{\sigma} X^{\sigma
ho} -
abla^{
ho} X + \mathcal{I}^{
ho} = 0$$

with

$$\mathcal{I}^{\rho} = (\gamma^{-1})^{\rho\nu} \left\{ X^{\alpha\beta} (\nabla_{\alpha} G_{\beta\nu} - \nabla_{\nu} \gamma_{\alpha\beta}) + \nabla^{\mu} \gamma_{\mu\alpha} X^{\alpha}_{\nu} \right\}$$

There is also a scalar constraint

$$\mathcal{C}_5 \equiv \left(\nabla_{\rho} (\gamma^{-1})^{\rho \nu} \nabla^{\mu} + \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu \nu} \right) E_{\mu \nu}$$

= $-\frac{3}{2} M^2 X - \frac{1}{2} G^{\mu \nu} X_{\mu \nu} + \nabla_{\rho} \mathcal{I}^{\rho} = 0$

 \Rightarrow the number of DoF is 10-5=5.

Differential constraints, model II

With

$$\gamma_{\mu
u}=R_{\mu
u}-\left(M^2+rac{R}{2}
ight)g_{\mu
u}$$

one has

$$\mathcal{C}^{\rho} \equiv \gamma^{\rho\nu} \nabla^{\mu} E_{\mu\nu} = \Sigma^{\rho\nu\alpha\beta} \nabla_{\nu} X_{\alpha\beta} = 0$$

with
$$\Sigma^{\rho\nu\alpha\beta} \equiv \gamma^{\rho\nu}\gamma^{\alpha\beta} - \gamma^{\rho\beta}\gamma^{\nu\alpha}$$
 and
 $\mathcal{C}_5 \equiv \nabla_{\rho}\mathcal{C}^{\rho}$
 $+ \frac{1}{2g^{00}}\Sigma^{00\alpha}{}_{\beta}\left(2E^{\beta}_{\ \alpha} - \delta^{\beta}_{lpha}(E^{\sigma}_{\ \sigma} - \frac{1}{g^{00}}E^{00})\right) = 0$

This does not contain the second time derivative \Rightarrow constraint.

Einstein space background

Einstein spaces, massless limit

$$R_{\mu
u} = \Lambda g_{\mu
u} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \gamma_{\mu
u} \propto g_{\mu
u} \quad \Rightarrow \quad X_{\mu
u} = X_{
u\mu}$$

everything reduces to the standard Higuchi equations

$$\Delta_{\mu
u}+M_{
m H}^2(X_{\mu
u}-Xg_{\mu
u})=0$$

where the Higuchi mass

I: $M_{\rm H}^2 = \Lambda/3 + M^2$, II: $M_{\rm H}^2 = \Lambda + M^2$.

Massless limit:

$$M_{
m H}=0 \ \Rightarrow \ X_{\mu
u} o X_{\mu
u} +
abla_{(\mu}\xi_{
u)} \ \Rightarrow \ 10-2 imes 4=2$$
 DOF

Partially massless limit:

$$M_{
m H}^2 = rac{2\Lambda}{3} \ \Rightarrow \ X_{\mu
u} o X_{\mu
u} + (
abla_\mu
abla_
u + rac{\Lambda}{3}g_{\mu
u})\Omega \ \Rightarrow \ 10-4-2 = 4 \ {
m DOF}$$

None of these limits exists for $R_{\mu\nu} \neq \Lambda g_{\mu\nu}$.

Short summary

- Six algebraic conditions and five differential constraints $C^{\rho} = 0$ and $C_5 = 0$ reduce the number of independent components of $X_{\mu\nu}$ from 16 to 5. This matches the number of polarizations of massive particles of spin 2.
- When restricted to Einstein spaces, the theory reproduces the standard description of massive gravitons.
- Unless in Einstein spaces, no massless (or partially massless) limit. For any value of the FP mass *M* the number of DoF on generic background is 5.

Cosmological background

Line element

$$g_{\mu
u}dx^{\mu}dx^{
u}=-dt^2+a^2(t)d\mathbf{x}^2$$

where a(t) fulfills the Einstein equations

$$3\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} = \frac{\rho}{M_{\mathrm{Pl}}^2} \equiv \rho, \quad 2\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} = -\frac{\rho}{M_{\mathrm{Pl}}^2} \equiv -\rho.$$

Here $M_{\rm Pl}$ is the Planck mass and ρ, p are the energy density and pressure of the background matter.

Fourier decomposition

$$X_{\mu
u}(t,{f x})=a^2(t)\sum_{f k}X_{\mu
u}(t,{f k})e^{i{f k}{f x}}$$

where the Fourier amplitude splits into the sum of the tensor, vector, and scalar harmonics,

$$X_{\mu
u}(t,{f k})=X^{(2)}_{\mu
u}+X^{(1)}_{\mu
u}+X^{(0)}_{\mu
u}$$

The spatial part of the background Ricci tensor $R_{ik} \sim \delta_{ik}$ hence

$$X_{ik} = X_{ki}$$

 $\Rightarrow X_{\mu\nu}$ has only 13 independent components. Assuming the spatial momentum **k** to be directed along the third axis, **k** = (0,0,k), the harmonics are

Tensor, vector, scalar harmonics

$$X^{(2)}_{\mu
u} = egin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & \mathrm{D}_{+} & \mathrm{D}_{-} & 0 \ 0 & \mathrm{D}_{-} & -\mathrm{D}_{+} & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \; X^{(1)}_{\mu
u} = egin{bmatrix} 0 & W^+_+ & W^+_- & 0 \ W^-_+ & 0 & 0 & i\mathrm{k}\mathrm{V}_+ \ W^-_- & 0 & 0 & i\mathrm{k}\mathrm{V}_- \ 0 & i\mathrm{k}\mathrm{V}_+ & i\mathrm{k}\mathrm{V}_- & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$X^{(0)}_{\mu
u} = egin{bmatrix} S^+_+ & 0 & 0 & i \mathrm{k} S^+_- \ 0 & S^-_- & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & S^-_- & 0 \ i \mathrm{k} S^-_+ & 0 & 0 & S^-_- - \mathrm{k}^2 \mathrm{S} \end{bmatrix},$$

where D_{\pm} , V_{\pm} , S, W_{\pm}^{\pm} , S_{\pm}^{\pm} are 13 functions of time. The equations split into three independent groups – one for the tensor modes $X_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}$, one for vector modes $X_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}$, and one for scalar modes $X_{\mu\nu}^{(0)}$.

The effective action is

$$U_{(2)} = \int (K \dot{\mathrm{D}}_{\pm}^2 - U \mathrm{D}_{\pm}^2) \, a^3 dt$$

with

$$K=1, \qquad U=M_{\mathrm{eff}}^2+\mathrm{k}^2/a^2$$

where

I:
$$M_{\text{eff}}^2 = M^2 + \frac{1}{3}\rho, \quad m_{\text{H}}^2 = M_{\text{eff}}^2,$$

II: $M_{\text{eff}}^2 = M^2 - \rho, \quad m_{\text{H}}^2 = M^2 + \rho$

 $m_{\rm H}$ reduces to the Higuchi mass in the Einstein space limit.

Vector sector

4 auxiliary amplitudes are expressed in terms of two V_\pm

$$W^+_{\pm} = rac{{{{
m P}}^2}m_{
m H}^2\,{{\dot {
m V}}_{\pm}}}{m_{
m H}^4 + {{
m P}}^2(m_{
m H}^2 - \epsilon/2)}, \quad W^-_{\pm} = rac{{{
m P}}^2\left[m_{
m H}^2 - \epsilon\right]{{\dot {
m V}}_{\pm}}}{m_{
m H}^4 + {{
m P}}^2(m_{
m H}^2 - \epsilon/2)},$$

(with $\epsilon = \rho + p$) and the effective action

$${\cal I}_{(1)} = \int ({\cal K} \dot{
m V}_{\pm}^2 - {\it U} {
m V}_{\pm}^2) \, {\it a}^3 dt$$

with

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{K} & = & \frac{{\rm k}^2 m_{\rm H}^4}{m_{\rm H}^4 + ({\rm k}^2/a^2)(m_{\rm H}^2 - \epsilon/2)}, \\ \mathcal{U} & = & \mathcal{M}_{\rm eff}^2 \, {\rm k}^2 \end{array}$$

In Einstein spaces one has $m_{\rm H} = M_{\rm H}$ (Higuchi mass), vector modes do not propagate if $M_{\rm H} = 0$ (massless limit). Otherwise $m_{\rm H} \neq const. \Rightarrow$ they always propagate.

$$I_{(0)} = \int (K\dot{\mathrm{S}}^2 - U\mathrm{S}^2) \, a^3 dt$$

where the kinetic term

$$\mathcal{K} = rac{3\mathrm{k}^4m_\mathrm{H}^4(m_\mathrm{H}^2-2H^2)}{(m_\mathrm{H}^2-2H^2)[9m_\mathrm{H}^4+6(\mathrm{k}^2/a^2)(2m_\mathrm{H}^2-\epsilon)]+4(\mathrm{k}^4/a^4)(m_\mathrm{H}^2-\epsilon)}$$

and the potential (c being the sound speed)

$$egin{array}{rcl} U/K & o & M_{
m eff}^2 & {
m as} & k o 0 \ U/K & o & c^2 \left({
m k}^2/a^2
ight) & {
m as} & k o \infty \end{array}$$

There is only one DoF in the scalar sector (!!!)

In Einstein spaces one has $m_{\rm H} = M_{\rm H}$ and the scalar mode does not propagate if $M_{\rm H} = 0$ (massless limit) or if $M_{\rm H}^2 = 2H^2$ (PM limit). In the generic case one has $m_{\rm H} \neq const.$ and it always propagates.

No ghost conditions

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} K > 0$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{K}_{(2)} &= 1, \\ \mathcal{K}_{(1)} &= \frac{\mathrm{k}^2 m_{\mathrm{H}}^4}{m_{\mathrm{H}}^4 + (\mathrm{k}^2/a^2)(m_{\mathrm{H}}^2 - \epsilon/2)}, \\ \mathcal{K}_{(0)} &= \frac{3\mathrm{k}^4 m_{\mathrm{H}}^4(m_{\mathrm{H}}^2 - 2H^2)}{(m_{\mathrm{H}}^2 - 2H^2)[9m_{\mathrm{H}}^4 + 6(\mathrm{k}^2/a^2)(2m_{\mathrm{H}}^2 - \epsilon)] + 4(\mathrm{k}^4/a^4)(m_{\mathrm{H}}^2 - \epsilon)} \end{split}$$

No tachyon conditions

$$c^{2} > 0$$

with

$$\begin{array}{lll} c_{(2)}^2 &=& 1, \\ c_{(1)}^2 &=& \displaystyle \frac{M_{\rm eff}^2}{m_{\rm H}^4} \, (m_{\rm H}^2 - \epsilon/2), \\ c_{(0)}^2 &=& \displaystyle \frac{(m_{\rm H}^2 - \epsilon) [m_{\rm H}^4 + (2H^2 - 4M_{\rm eff}^2 - \epsilon)m_{\rm H}^2 + 4H^2 M_{\rm eff}^2]}{3m_{\rm H}^4 (2H^2 - m_{\rm H}^2)}. \end{array}$$

Stability of the system

- Everything is stable if the background density is small, $ho \leq M^2 M_{
 m Pl}^2.$
- Model II is stable during inflation.
- Model I is stable during inflation if the Hubble rate is not very high, H < M.
- Both models are always stable after inflation if $M \ge 10^{13}$ GeV.
- Both models are stable now if $M \ge 10^{-3}$ eV.
- Assuming that $X_{\mu\nu}$ couples only to gravity and hence massive gravitons do not have other decay channels, it follows that they could be a part of Dark Matter (DM) at present.

Backreaction

Self-coupled system

$$I=rac{1}{2}\int \left(M_{\mathrm{Pl}}^2R+X^{
u\mu}E_{\mu
u}
ight)\sqrt{-g}\,d^4x.$$

Varying this with respect to the $X_{\mu\nu}$ and $g_{\mu\nu}$ yields

$$egin{array}{rcl} M_{
m Pl}^2 G_{\mu
u} &=& T_{\mu
u}, \ E_{\mu
u} &=& 0. \end{array}$$

The only solution in the homogeneous and isotropic sector is de Sitter with $\Lambda = -3M^2 > 0$, hence for $M^2 < 0$.

 \Rightarrow Massive gravitons in our model cannot mimic dark energy.

Black hole hair via superradiance

- Incident waves with $\omega < m\Omega_{\rm H}$ are amplified by a spinning black hole /Zel'dovich 1971/, /Starobinsky 1972/, /Bardeen, Press, Teukolsky 1972/
- If the field has a mass μ then its modes with $|\omega| < \mu$ cannot escape to infinity and will stay close to the black hole. Such modes will be amplified but also absorbed by the black hole. /Damour, Deruelle, Ruffini 1976/.
- It follows that massive hair should grow spontaneously on black holes

Black hole hair via superradiance

- First confirmation of this scenario scalar Kerr clouds = stationary spinning black holes with massive complex scalar field /Herdeiro, Radu, 2014/.
- Next spinning black holes with massive complex vector field /Herdeiro, Radu, Runarsson 2016/.
- First confirmation of the spontaneous growth phenomenon growth of massive complex vector field /East, Pretorius 2017/.

As the *supperadiance rate increases with spin*, the vector massive hair grows faster than the scalar one – easier to simulate.

 However, the tensor hair should grow still faster. This suggest there should be spinning black holes with complex massive graviton hair. Complexification – replacing

$$X^{
u\mu}E_{\mu
u}
ightarrow ar{X}^{
u\mu}E_{\mu
u} + X^{
u\mu}ar{E}_{\mu
u}$$

in the action

$$I=rac{1}{2}\int \left(M_{\mathrm{Pl}}^2R+X^{
u\mu}E_{\mu
u}
ight)\sqrt{-g}\,d^4x.$$

Summary of results

- The consistent theory of massive gravitons in arbitrary spacetimes presented in the form simple enough for practical applications.
- The theory is described by a non-symmetric rank-2 tensor whose equations of motion imply six algebraic and five differential constraints reducing the number of independent components to five.
- The theory reproduces the standard description of massive gravitons in Einstein spaces.
- In generic spacetimes it does not show the massless limit and always propagates five degrees of freedom, even for the vanishing mass parameter.
- The explicit solution for a homogeneous and isotropic cosmological background shows that the gravitons are stable, hence they may be a part of Dark Matter.
- An interesting open issue possible existence of stationary black holes with massive graviton hair.