

HSCoPP 2004



dynamics control in plasmas the experimentalist's "point de vue"

- I. Controlling chaos
- II. Controlling noise
- III. Controlling turbulence

Thomas Klinger - Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik – Greifswald

on behalf of many collaborators:

D. Block¹, G. Bonhomme², F. Greiner¹, O. Grulke^{3,4}, N. Krahnstöver¹, A. Latten¹, A. Piel¹, T. Pierre⁵, C. Schröder ⁴, H. Thomsen⁴, H. Zohm⁴

¹ University of Kiel (Germany)⁴ MPI for Plasma Physics (Garching/Greifswald, Germany)² Université de Nancy (France)⁵ Université de Provence (Marseille, France)³ University of Greifswald (Germany)







controlling chaos in plasmas





control of chaos - idea





H. G. Schuster (Ed) Handbook of Chaos Control (VCH-Wiley 1999)



control = stabilising unstable periodic orbits (UPOs)



Ott, Grebogi, Yorke, PRL 64, 1196 (1990)



Pierce diode





1d electron fluid model

$$egin{array}{rcl} \partial_t n_e + \partial_x (n_e v_e) &=& 0 \ \ \partial_t v_e + v_e \partial_x v_e &=& qE \ \ \ \partial_x E &=& lpha^2 (n_i - n_e) \end{array}$$

toy model for plasma diode

- monoenergetic electron beam
- neutralising ion background
- surface charges on electrodes
- external circuit

1d PIC simulation

- use XPDP1 (UC Berkeley)
- bounded plasmas code
- O(10000) particles

Pierce parameter $\alpha = \omega_{pe}L/v_0$ control parameter





 $\eta \leq 0.2\%$



the MATILDA device



device located until recently at IEAP Kiel University



ΠÌ



dynamical response of plasma current to periodic voltage drive



period doubling and chaos



phase space portraits

ΠΠ

Poincaré sections \Rightarrow

bifurcation diagram

power spectra



OPF control











Mausbach, Klinger, Piel, Phys. Plasmas 6, 3816 (1999)



wave chaos





Gravier et al. PoP 6, 1670 (1999)







controlling noise in plasmas







stochastic resonance = SR : first proposed by Benzi et. al, *Tellus* (1982)





- stochastical systems theory
- optical systems
- electric and magnetic systems
- neuronal systems
- geoscience

Ganopolski and Rahmstorf, PRL 88, 038501 (2002)



SR principle







3 ingredients

apparent paradox: increase noise level \rightarrow improved signal-to-noise ratio



some theory & simulation



- Langevin stochastic ODE
- valid for large damping

signal-to-noise ratio SNR = $10 \log_{10}(S/B)$

Kramer's time

$$\begin{array}{l} \overbrace{T_k} \propto \ \frac{1}{\alpha} \mathrm{e}^{-U_0/\sigma} & \text{at } A = 0 \\ \mathrm{SNR} \ \propto \ (A/\sigma)^2 \, \mathrm{e}^{-U_0/\sigma} \\ S(\omega) \ = \ \frac{\alpha\beta}{\beta + \omega^2} + \gamma \delta(\omega - \omega_d) \end{array}$$

McNamara and Wiesenfeld, PRA 39, 4854 (1989)



hysteresis models



energy balance equation (Ohmic heating vs. surface loss)

 $f(heta) = lpha \, \exp(-1/ heta) - (heta - heta_0) = 0$ with $heta = k_B T_e/E_i$

similar conclusion!

Matsunaga and Kato, JPSJ 66, 115 (1997)

Greiner, Klinger, Klostermann, Piel, PRL 70, 3071 (1993)

discharge modes











stochastic behaviour





stochastic behaviour

IDD





phase space structure

PΓ



















controlling instabilities and turbulence







- drift waves are generic in the edge of magnetized plasmas
- drift waves and drift wave turbulence cause strong particle transport
- transport properties are determined by the power spectrum (Re and Im!)
- transport is not necessarily undesired but needs to be controlled

- magnetic shear fairly static
- self-consistent radial electric shear fields ITB's difficult to establish
- active open-loop or closed-loop contro not yet developed
- simple: open-loop control
- necessary: spatiotemporal control signal

T. Klinger – HSCoPP 2004 Frejus



magnetized triple plasma



- thermionic discharges
- magnetized mid-section

~ DLD (Darmouth), MIRABELLE (Nancy), KIWI (Kiel), MISTRAL (Marseille), VINETA (Greifswald)

T. Klinger – HSCoPP 2004 Frejus

plasma parameters





magnetized plasma column

- L = 1.5 m and d = 0.3 m
- $n_e^{} \leq 5 \cdot 10^{16} \ m^{-3}$
- $T_e \approx 1.5 eV$
- $B \le 0.1T$ (linear)
- $\beta \leq$ 2.5 \cdot 10⁻⁶

quiescent plasma

- low-beta plasma
- ρ_s ~ other scales

- $\nabla_z n \neq 0 \Rightarrow 3d$ equilibrium
- collisions with neutrals

features



an array of 64 probes







turbulence









idea: suppression resp. synchronisation of drift wave turbulence by externally applied electric rotation field



Schröder, Klinger, Block, Piel, Bonhomme, Naulin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 5711 (2001)



IDD



- no external field
- co-rotating field
- counter-rotating field



physical mechanism

direct perturbation of the drift modes's electric field?



indirect perturbation of the drift wave by poloidal current profile?







extended HW-model (2d)

plasma potential

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla (\phi + \vec{V}_{E \times B} \cdot \nabla \nabla_{\perp}^{2} \phi = \tilde{\sigma} (\phi - n) + S + \mu_{w} \nabla_{\perp}^{4} \phi$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} n + \vec{V}_{E \times B} \cdot \nabla (N_{0} + n) = \tilde{\sigma} (\phi - n) + S + \mu_{n} \nabla_{\perp}^{2} n$$
plasma density





- rotating electron current profile || B
- poloidal mode structure (m=2)
- radially localized



simulation result





counter-rotating field

Schröder, T.K., Block, Piel, Bonhomme, Naulin, PRL 86, 5711 (2001)



IDD



- no external field
- co-rotating field
- counter-rotating field



synchronisation of modes

IPP







incomplete synchronisation - van-der-Pol behaviour

$$\ddot{x} - \gamma f(x, \dot{x}) \, \dot{x} + \omega_0^2 \, x = E_0 \cos(\omega_i t)$$





phase evolution





- m=2 exciter field
- moving frame
- drift mode response
- phase slippage
- periodic pulling



Block, Piel, Schröder, Klinger, PRE 63, 056401 (2001)



transport



 $\Gamma(\omega) = \frac{2}{B_0} C_{n,E}(\omega) \quad \text{with} \quad C_{n,E}(\omega) = \Re \, S_{n,E}(\omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \Gamma \rangle = \int_0^\infty \Gamma(\omega) \, d\omega$



Block, Piel, PPCF 45, 413 (2001), ibd. 427







proof of priciple ✓ how about the big devices?





turbulence in W7-AS



driver frequency observed ~ weak impact ...

- E×B co-rotation \Rightarrow phase coupling
- E×B co-rotation \Rightarrow phase slippage

Thomsen et al., PPCF, to be published 2004





T. Klinger – HSCoPP 2004 Frejus



neoclassical tearing modes





ECCD in magnetic islands



ECR O-mode deposition ~ width down to 2 cm

Can be used to replace the hole in the bootstrap current distribution









NTMs - control







NTMs - control





perspective: feedback control

Zohm et al, Nuclear Fusion 39, 557 (1999)







Proof-of-principle experiments on control of ...

chaos bounded plasmas







turbulence Spatial sychronisation





phase distributions





Choi et al., PRE 57, 6335 (1998)

experiment and theory agree well ...



AGM current oscillations

ΠΠ





LM current oscillations





Hasegawa-Wakatani model

plasma potential

plasma density

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \left[\phi \right] + \vec{V}_{E \times B} \cdot \nabla \nabla_{\perp}^{2} \phi = \tilde{\sigma} \left(\phi - n \right) + \mu_{w} \nabla_{\perp}^{4} \phi$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} n + \vec{V}_{E \times B} \cdot \nabla \left(N_{0} + n \right) = \tilde{\sigma} \left(\phi - n \right) + \mu_{n} \nabla_{\perp}^{2} n$$

IPP







intrinsic noise







period doubling cascade

IPP





control schemes

