08/07/2016



Charlotte Welker

Mergers and Gas accretion onto galaxies: the imprint of the cosmic web

Collaborators: Chris Power(ICRAR), Pascal Elahi (ICRAR) Christophe Pichon (IAP), Julien Devriendt (Oxford), Yohan Dubois(IAP),Sandrine Codis (CITA), Clotilde Laigle (IAP)





Galaxy formation in the cosmic web



The Hubble sequence

Hubble (1936)

Credit: NASA, ESA, M. Kornmesser



Simulations in this talk:

- Cosmological Hydrodynamical runs with Gadget and Ramses.
- ☞ Hydrodynamical zooms on haloes with Gadget, Ramses, Arepo.

 Large scale cosmological "full physics" run with Ramses: Horizon-AGN 100 Mpc.h-1 cubic box UV reheating, cooling, star formation, metal release feedback from SNI, SNII, AGN: quasar and jets

1 kpc maximal resolution

Tracing spin swings in the Cosmic web

Pick your favourite cosmic web extractor....

Gas, 10 Mpc projected map



Horizon-AGN: Skeleton (Sousbie 2009 +DisPerse, Sousbie 2011)

- Detecting the ridge lines of the density field
- Fully connected network
- > Topolological extractor, naturally multiscale
- DisPerse: can be applied on real data (particles or grids)
- No smoothing: persistence level, "S/N ratio threshold"





$$\mu = |\cos(\theta)|$$

 [1] Kinematics of the cosmic web: a brief recap
Cosmic Flows in the vicinity of filaments.















Vorticity-filament alignment



14

Credit: Laigle et al 2015.





Dark matter particles



Z=3.8

Mh=2 10^12 Msun

Rvir=79 kpc

Credit: Pichon et al 2011

2.1) Structure of gas inflows

Nifty comparison, in prepLarge scales:▶ 10^14 -10^15 Msun clusters: connectivity remains around 3-5



2.1) Structure of gas inflows

Nifty comparison, in prep



Skeleton (filaments)

Highest robustness

 filamentary structure survives shocks at ~1-2 Rvir

Tested with RAMSES, GADGET, AREPO (Nifty comparison)

2.1) Structure of gas inflows

Nifty comparison, in prep



Skeleton (filaments)

Anti-skeleton (depletion contours)

 filamentary structure survives shocks at ~1-2 Rvir

Tested with RAMSES,
GADGET, AREPO
(Nifty comparison)

Structure of gas inflows Cold flows at z>1

- torques from misaligned halo/galaxy
- Net angular momentum transfer from neighbouring "pushing" voids (cause braided vorticity tubes). Helix like structure (Pichon 2011, Danovich 2015)





streamlines

Credit: Danovich 2015.

[2a] Impact on the spin of haloes and galaxies

Simulated haloes
Simulated galaxies
Real galaxies

Swings for dark haloes in simulations...



Aragon-Calvo 2007, Hahn 2007, Paz 2008, Codis 2012

It holds true for synthetic galaxies (multiple tracers)

Spin orientation distribution for galaxies



- Low-mass, young, centrifugally supported, metal-poor, bluer galaxies : aligned
- Massive, high velocity dispersion, red, metal-rich old galaxies: perpendicular

Dubois, Pichon, Welker et al 2015

... and real galaxies likewise!



[2b] Mechanisms of spin acquisition

 Eulerian view: smooth accretion vs mergers
Lagrangian theory

Spin swing dynamics Smooth accretion



PDF of μ over 4 timesteps δt 1.06 1.04)p=n+4 p=n+3 p=n+11.02 time 0.98 $\delta t = 250 Myr$ 0.96 0.2 0.0 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 μ $\mu = |\cos(\theta)|$ Spin-filament angle ξ : excess probability



A lagrangian theory exits!

See Codis et al 2015.

Tidal Torque Theory: $L_i = \sum_{j,k,l} a^2(t) \dot{D}_+(t) \epsilon_{ijk} I_{jl} T_{lk}$



In the vicinity of a filament: See Codis et al 2015. **anisotropic environment !**

Insights from lagrangian theory

Proto-filament in the initial density field:



Line connecting two maxima (proto-nodes) through a saddle point

See Codis et al 2015.





minimum

2D gaussian random field

Insights from lagrangian theory

See Codis et al 2015.

In the plane of the saddle point orthogonal to filament:





2D spin map

Insights from lagrangian theory

See Codis et al 2015.

Same GRF analysis around a filament-type saddle point constraint in 3D:
Compute expectations for δ and s

Sketch of the spin distribution



[2c] Impact on the mopholgy of galaxies

Statistical study in Horizon-AGN

Morphological variety in Horizon-AGN



Galactic Morphologies

Inertia tensor:

$$I_{ij} = \Sigma_l m^l (\delta_{ij} . (x_k^l . x_k^l) - x_i^l . x_j^l)$$



Moments and ellipsoid axis:

 $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \lambda_3$ c < b < a



Disks : c/a < 0.45 b/a < 0.55</p>

Spheroids: c/a > 0.7 b/a > 0.8 Galactic morphologies: Smooth accretion



34

ξ₁=c/a

Galactic morphologies: Smooth accretion

SMOOTH ACCRETION

Gas inflows flatten spheroids over time along the filament direction

Up to the transition mass!



Dark to light: 1.5 Gyr Cumulative probability of axis ratios & over a time step (250 Myr) log(M/Msun)>10.5

Axis ratio: c/a



Galactic morphologies: Mergers

[2b]



36

j-M scaling relations : variations with gas fraction



j-M-morphology scaling relations



0.0 0.5 10.0 10.5 11.0 14

Summary I

Spin swings recovered for galaxies.

 Smooth accretion builds up the spin parallel to filaments and reform disks.

 Minor and major mergers destroy disks and flip the spin orthogonal to the filament.

Implications for satellites in the Local Universe and detectability?



[3] Impact on the morphology of clusters at z<1

Is satellite distribution imprinted by the collimated nature of the filamentary infall?

(recall cold gas flows at z>1)

Tracing galactic alignments

See also: Yang 2006, Ibata 2014, Libeskind 2015

[3]

 θ_1

 $\mu_1 = |\cos(\theta_1)|$

Minor axis-position (or spin-position) **See also:** Tempel 2015, Libeskind 2015



Position-filament

Filamentary and coplanar trends: expected correlation

[3]



 \Rightarrow mass segregation for the coplanar trend.

Filamentary and coplanar trends: Mass segregation

[3]



Consistent with Observations: Sales 2004, Brainerd 2005, Yang 2006

α

Projected Alignment Trends

[3]



Consistent with Observations: Sales 2004, Brainerd 2005, Yang 2006

Projected Alignment Trends

[3]



Consistent with Observations: Sales 2004, Brainerd 2005, Yang 2006

Filamentary and coplanar trends: Transition

 Transition between: the filamentary trend (outskirt of the halo) and the coplanar trend
(vicinity of the central).

[3]



In observations: Zaritsky 1997



Central spin orientation selection



37 degree angle cuts

[3]



Kinematic signature



See also: Ibata 2014

[3]

Kinematic signature



See also: Ibata 2014

[3]

Summary

- Satellites aligned with filament
- ⇒ mass segregation for the coplanar trend.
- Transition between the filamentary trend and the coplanar trend

 Satellites align their orbital momentum with the spin of massive centrals y (Mpc)



Summary

[3]

satellites following distribution:



Conclusion



- Tight connection between the LSS geometry and galactic properties:
 - ➤ Gas inflow explains angular momentum spin-up and consecutive (re)-building of disks at z>1.



Mergers account for spin swings observed for massive galaxies in the cosmic web.





Satellites infall generates the separation dependent angular distribution of satellites around their central host.