Higher dimensional massive (bi-)gravity: Constructions and solutions

Tuan Q. Do

Vietnam National University, Hanoi

Based on PRD93(2016)104003 [arXiv:1602.05672]; PRD94(2016)044022 [arXiv:1604.07568].

Hot Topics in General Relativity and Gravitation 3 (HTGRG-3) XIIIth Rencontres du Vietnam

ICISE, Quy Nhon, July 30th - August 5th, 2017





1/26

Contents

Motivations

- 2 Cayley-Hamilton theorem and ghost-free graviton terms
- 3 Simple solutions for a five-dimensional massive gravity
- 4 Simple solutions for a five-dimensional massive bi-gravity
- 5 Conclusions

I. Motivations

- The massive gravity [gravitons have tiny but non-zero mass] has had a long and rich history since the seminal paper by Fierz & Pauli [PRSA173(1939)211].
- van Dam & Veltman [NPB22(1970)397] and Zakharov [PZETF12(1970)447] showed that in the massless limit, it cannot recover GR.
- Vainshtein pointed out that the nonlinear extensions of FP theory can solve the vDVZ discontinuity problem [PLB39(1972)393].
- Boulware & Deser claimed that there exists a ghost associated with the sixth mode in graviton coming from nonlinear levels [PRD6(1972)3368].
- Building a ghost-free nonlinear massive gravity, in which a massive graviton carries only five "physical" degrees of freedom, has been a great challenge for physicists.
- de Rham, Gabadadze & Tolley (dRGT) have successfully constructed a ghost-free nonlinear massive gravity [1011.1232, 1007.0443].
- The dRGT theory has been proved to be ghost-free for general fiducial metric by some different approaches, e.g., Hassan & Rosen [1106.3344, 1109.3230].
- The dRGT theory might be a solution to the cosmological constant problem.

I. Motivations

- An interesting extension of dRGT theory is the massive bi-metric gravity (bi-gravity) proposed by Hassan & Rosen, in which the reference metric is introduced to be full dynamical as the physical metric [1109.3515].
- For interesting review papers, see de Rham [1401.4173]; K. Hinterbichler [1105.3735]; Schmidt-May & von Strauss [1512.00021].
- It is noted that most of previous papers have focused only on four-dimensional frameworks, which involve only the first three massive graviton terms, L₂, L₃, and L₄.
- There have been a few papers discussing higher dimensional scenarios of massive (bi)gravity theories, e.g., Hinterbichler & Rosen [1203.5783]; Hassan, Schmidt-May & von Strauss [1212.4525]; Huang, Zhang & Zhou [1306.4740]. However, these papers have not studied the well-known metrics in higher dimensions, e.g., the Friedmann-Lemaitre-Robertson-Walker (FLRW), Bianchi type I, and Schwarzschild-Tangherlini metrics.
- We would like to investigate whether the five-dimensional (bi)gravity theories admit the above metrics as their solutions.

• Recall the four-dimensional action of the dRGT massive gravity [1011.1232, 1007.0443]:

$$S_{4d} = \frac{M_p^2}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \Big\{ R + m_g^2 \left(\mathcal{L}_2 + \alpha_3 \mathcal{L}_3 + \alpha_4 \mathcal{L}_4 \right) \Big\},$$

where M_p the Planck mass, m_g the graviton mass, $\alpha_{3,4}$ free parameters, and the massive graviton terms \mathcal{L}_i defined as

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{2} &= [\mathcal{K}]^{2} - [\mathcal{K}^{2}]; \ \mathcal{L}_{3} = \frac{1}{3}[\mathcal{K}]^{3} - [\mathcal{K}][\mathcal{K}^{2}] + \frac{2}{3}[\mathcal{K}^{3}], \\ \mathcal{L}_{4} &= \frac{1}{12}[\mathcal{K}]^{4} - \frac{1}{2}[\mathcal{K}]^{2}[\mathcal{K}^{2}] + \frac{1}{4}[\mathcal{K}^{2}]^{2} + \frac{2}{3}[\mathcal{K}][\mathcal{K}^{3}] - \frac{1}{2}[\mathcal{K}^{4}]. \end{split}$$

• Square brackets:

$$[\mathcal{K}] \equiv \mathrm{tr} \mathcal{K}^{\mu}{}_{\nu}; \ [\mathcal{K}]^2 \equiv (\mathrm{tr} \mathcal{K}^{\mu}{}_{\nu})^2; \ [\mathcal{K}^2] \equiv \mathrm{tr} \mathcal{K}^{\mu}{}_{\alpha} \mathcal{K}^{\alpha}{}_{\nu}; \text{ and so on.}$$

 $\bullet\,$ The square matrix $\mathcal{K}^{\mu}{}_{\nu}$ is defined as

 $\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}^{\mu}{}_{\nu} &\equiv \delta^{\mu}{}_{\nu} - \sqrt{f_{ab}}\partial_{\mu}\phi^{a}\partial_{\alpha}\phi^{b}g^{\alpha\nu}, \\ \phi^{a} &\sim \text{Stückelberg fields; } g_{\mu\nu} &\sim \text{(dynamical) physical metric,} \\ f_{ab} &\sim \text{non-dynamical reference (fiducial) metric of massive gravity}_{5/26} \end{aligned}$

• Recall the four-dimensional action of the massive bi-gravity [1109.3515]:

$$\begin{split} S_{\rm 4d} = & M_g^2 \int d^4 x \sqrt{g} R(g) + M_f^2 \int d^4 x \sqrt{f} R(f) \\ &+ 2m^2 M_{\rm eff}^2 \int d^4 x \sqrt{g} \Big(\mathcal{U}_2 + \alpha_3 \mathcal{U}_3 + \alpha_4 \mathcal{U}_4 \Big), \end{split}$$

where

$$\mathcal{U}_{i} = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_{i}; \ M_{\text{eff}}^{2} \equiv \left(\frac{1}{M_{g}^{2}} + \frac{1}{M_{f}^{2}}\right)^{-1}$$

• The square matrix $\mathcal{K}^{\mu}{}_{\nu}$ is defined as

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{K}^{\mu}{}_{\nu} &\equiv \delta^{\mu}{}_{\nu} - \sqrt{f_{\mu\alpha}g^{\alpha\nu}}, \\ g_{\mu\nu} &\sim \text{(dynamical) physical metric,} \\ f_{\mu\nu} &\sim \text{full dynamical reference (fiducial) metric.} \end{split}$$

- We will construct higher dimensional terms $\mathcal{L}_{n>4}$ by applying the well-known Cayley-Hamilton theorem for the square matrix $\mathcal{K}^{\mu}{}_{\nu}$.
- In algebra, there exists the well-known Cayley-Hamilton theorem: any square matrix must obey its characteristic equation. In particular, given a n × n matrix K with its characteristic equation, P(λ) ≡ det(λI_n − K) = 0, then

$$\mathcal{P}(K) \equiv K^{n} - \mathcal{D}_{n-1}K^{n-1} + \mathcal{D}_{n-2}K^{n-2} - \dots + (-1)^{n-1}\mathcal{D}_{1}K + (-1)^{n}\det(K)I_{n} = 0,$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{n-1} = \text{tr}K \equiv [K]$ and \mathcal{D}_{n-j} ($2 \leq j \leq n-1$) are coefficients of the characteristic polynomial.

• For n = 2, the following characteristic equation:

$$K^2 - [K]K + \det K_{2\times 2}I_2 = 0,$$

which implies after taking the trace

$$\det \mathcal{K}_{2\times 2} = \frac{1}{2} \Big\{ [\mathcal{K}]^2 - [\mathcal{K}^2] \Big\} \sim \frac{\mathcal{L}_2}{2}.$$

• For n = 3, the corresponding characteristic equation:

$$\mathcal{K}^{3} - [\mathcal{K}]\mathcal{K}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\left\{ [\mathcal{K}]^{2} - [\mathcal{K}^{2}] \right\} \mathcal{K} - \det \mathcal{K}_{3 \times 3} \mathcal{I}_{3} = 0,$$

which leads to

det
$$K_{3\times 3} = \frac{1}{6} \left\{ [K]^3 - 3[K^2][K] + 2[K^3] \right\} \sim \frac{\mathcal{L}_3}{2}$$

• For n = 4, the corresponding characteristic equation:

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{K}^4 - [\mathcal{K}]\mathcal{K}^3 + \frac{1}{2}\left\{ [\mathcal{K}]^2 - [\mathcal{K}^2] \right\} \mathcal{K}^2 \\ & -\frac{1}{6}\left\{ [\mathcal{K}]^3 - 3[\mathcal{K}^2][\mathcal{K}] + 2[\mathcal{K}^3] \right\} \mathcal{K} + \det \mathcal{K}_{4 \times 4} \mathcal{I}_4 = 0, \end{split}$$

8/26

which gives

$$\det K_{4\times 4} = \frac{1}{24} \Big\{ [K]^4 - 6[K]^2 [K^2] + 3[K^2]^2 + 8[K][K^3] - 6[K^4] \Big\} \sim \frac{\mathcal{L}_4}{2}.$$

 The higher dimensional graviton terms L_{n>4} must vanish in all four-dimensional spacetimes.

• The higher dimensional terms $\mathcal{L}_{n>4} = \det \mathcal{K}_{n \times n}/2$ can be constructed from the Cayley-Hamilton theorem to be

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathcal{L}_{5}}{2} &= \frac{1}{120} \Big\{ [\mathcal{K}]^{5} - 10[\mathcal{K}]^{3}[\mathcal{K}^{2}] + 20[\mathcal{K}]^{2}[\mathcal{K}^{3}] \\ &- 20[\mathcal{K}^{2}][\mathcal{K}^{3}] + 15[\mathcal{K}][\mathcal{K}^{2}]^{2} - 30[\mathcal{K}][\mathcal{K}^{4}] + 24[\mathcal{K}^{5}] \Big\}, \\ \frac{\mathcal{L}_{6}}{2} &= \frac{1}{720} \Big\{ [\mathcal{K}]^{6} - 15[\mathcal{K}]^{4}[\mathcal{K}^{2}] + 40[\mathcal{K}]^{3}[\mathcal{K}^{3}] - 90[\mathcal{K}]^{2}[\mathcal{K}^{4}] \\ &+ 45[\mathcal{K}]^{2}[\mathcal{K}^{2}]^{2} - 15[\mathcal{K}^{2}]^{3} + 40[\mathcal{K}^{3}]^{2} - 120[\mathcal{K}^{3}][\mathcal{K}^{2}][\mathcal{K}] \\ &+ 90[\mathcal{K}^{4}][\mathcal{K}^{2}] + 144[\mathcal{K}^{5}][\mathcal{K}] - 120[\mathcal{K}^{6}] \Big\}, \\ \frac{\mathcal{L}_{7}}{2} &= \frac{1}{5040} \Big\{ [\mathcal{K}]^{7} - 21[\mathcal{K}]^{5}[\mathcal{K}^{2}] + 70[\mathcal{K}]^{4}[\mathcal{K}^{3}] - 210[\mathcal{K}]^{3}[\mathcal{K}^{4}] \\ &+ 105[\mathcal{K}]^{3}[\mathcal{K}^{2}]^{2} - 420[\mathcal{K}]^{2}[\mathcal{K}^{2}][\mathcal{K}^{3}] + 504[\mathcal{K}]^{2}[\mathcal{K}^{5}] - 105[\mathcal{K}^{2}]^{3}[\mathcal{K}] \\ &+ 210[\mathcal{K}^{2}]^{2}[\mathcal{K}^{3}] - 504[\mathcal{K}^{2}][\mathcal{K}^{5}] + 280[\mathcal{K}^{3}]^{2}[\mathcal{K}] - 420[\mathcal{K}^{3}][\mathcal{K}^{4}] \\ &+ 630[\mathcal{K}^{4}][\mathcal{K}^{2}][\mathcal{K}] - 840[\mathcal{K}^{6}][\mathcal{K}] + 720[\mathcal{K}^{7}] \Big\}. \end{split}$$

• A five-dimensional scenario of massive gravity [1602.05672]:

$$S = \frac{M_p^2}{2} \int d^5 x \sqrt{-g} \Big\{ R + m_g^2 \left(\mathcal{L}_2 + \alpha_3 \mathcal{L}_3 + \alpha_4 \mathcal{L}_4 + \alpha_5 \mathcal{L}_5 \right) \Big\},$$

• The corresponding five-dimensional Einstein field equations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} Rg_{\mu\nu} \end{pmatrix} + m_g^2 (X_{\mu\nu} + \sigma Y_{\mu\nu} + \alpha_5 W_{\mu\nu}) = 0, \\ X_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2} (\alpha \mathcal{L}_2 + \beta \mathcal{L}_3) g_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{X}_{\mu\nu}, \\ \tilde{X}_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu} - [\mathcal{K}] g_{\mu\nu} - \alpha \left\{ \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu}^2 - [\mathcal{K}] \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu} \right\} \\ + \beta \left\{ \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu}^3 - [\mathcal{K}] \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu}^2 + \frac{\mathcal{L}_2}{2} \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu} \right\}, \\ Y_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{\mathcal{L}_4}{2} g_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{Y}_{\mu\nu}; \quad \tilde{Y}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\mathcal{L}_3}{2} \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\mathcal{L}_2}{2} \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu}^2 + [\mathcal{K}] \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu}^3 - \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu}^4, \\ W_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{\mathcal{L}_5}{2} g_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}, \\ \tilde{W}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\mathcal{L}_4}{2} \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\mathcal{L}_3}{2} \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu}^2 + \frac{\mathcal{L}_2}{2} \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu}^3 - [\mathcal{K}] \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu}^4 + \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu}^5, \\ (10/26)$$

- Here $\alpha = \alpha_3 + 1$, $\beta = \alpha_3 + \alpha_4$, and $\sigma = \alpha_4 + \alpha_5$.
- Note that $Y_{\mu\nu} = 0$ in four dimensional spacetimes [Do & Kao, PRD88(2013)063006] but $\neq 0$ in higher-than-four dimensional ones .
- Similarly, $W_{\mu\nu} = 0$ in five dimensional spacetimes but $\neq 0$ in higher-than-five dimensional ones.
- The constraint equations associated with the existence of fiducial metric:

$$t_{\mu\nu} \equiv \tilde{X}_{\mu\nu} + \sigma \tilde{Y}_{\mu\nu} + \alpha_5 \tilde{W}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\alpha_3 \mathcal{L}_2 + \alpha_4 \mathcal{L}_3 + \alpha_5 \mathcal{L}_4 \right) g_{\mu\nu} = 0.$$

• Due to these constraint equations the Einstein field equations for $g_{\mu
u}$ become

$$(R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu}) - \frac{m_g^2}{2}\mathcal{L}_M g_{\mu\nu} = 0; \ \mathcal{L}_M \equiv \mathcal{L}_2 + \alpha_3 \mathcal{L}_3 + \alpha_4 \mathcal{L}_4 + \alpha_5 \mathcal{L}_5,$$

$$\Rightarrow (R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu}) + \Lambda_M g_{\mu\nu} = 0 \text{ (Bianchi constraint, } \partial^{\nu}\mathcal{L}_M = 0),$$

with $\Lambda_M \equiv -m_g^2 {\cal L}_M/2$ as an effective cosmological constant.

Ghost free issue

• Follow the analysis of dRGT papers [1011.1232, 1007.0443] by considering the tensor $\chi^{(n)}_{\mu\nu}$ and its the recursive relation:

$$\begin{split} X^{(n)}_{\mu\nu}(g_{\mu\nu},\mathcal{K}) &= \sum_{m=0}^{n} (-1)^{m} \frac{n!}{2(n-m)!} \mathcal{K}^{m}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}^{(n-m)}_{\mathsf{der}}(\mathcal{K}) \\ X^{(n)}_{\mu\nu} &= -n \mathcal{K}^{\alpha}_{\mu} X^{(n-1)}_{\alpha\nu} + \mathcal{K}^{\alpha\beta} X^{(n-1)}_{\alpha\beta} \mathsf{g}_{\mu\nu}. \end{split}$$

- For the 4D case $X_{\mu\nu}^{(4)}(g_{\mu\nu},\mathcal{K}) \sim Y_{\mu\nu} = 0 \rightarrow X_{\mu\nu}^{(n>4)}(g_{\mu\nu},\mathcal{K}) = 0 \rightarrow \text{no}$ ghostlike pathology arises at the quartic or higher order levels with arbitrary physical and fiducial metrics.
- Similarly, for the 5D case $X_{\mu\nu}^{(5)}(g_{\mu\nu},\mathcal{K}) \sim W_{\mu\nu} = 0 \rightarrow X_{\mu\nu}^{(n>5)}(g_{\mu\nu},\mathcal{K}) = 0 \rightarrow$ any ghostlike pathology arising at the quintic or higher order levels must disappear, no matter the form of physical and fiducial metrics.
- The similar conclusion is also valid for higher-than-five massive gravity theories.

- Solve the constraint Euler-Lagrange equations of fiducial metric's scale factors, which are indeed equivalent with $t_{\mu\nu} = 0$, in order to obtain the value of Λ_M .
- These constraint equations are not differential but algebraic.
- Solve the corresponding Einstein field equations to obtain the value of physical metric's scale factors.
- The fiducial metrics will be chosen to be compatible with the physical ones, i.e., they have the similar forms.
- FLRW (isotropic):

$$\begin{split} &ds_{5d}^2(g_{\mu\nu}) = - \, N_1^2(t) dt^2 + a_1^2(t) \left(d\vec{x}^2 + du^2 \right), \\ &ds_{5d}^2(f_{ab}) = - \, N_2^2(t) dt^2 + a_2^2(t) \left(d\vec{x}^2 + du^2 \right). \end{split}$$

13/26

• Bianchi type I (anisotropic):

$$\begin{aligned} ds_{5d}^2(g_{\mu\nu}) &= -N_1^2(t)dt^2 + \exp\left[2\alpha_1(t) - 4\sigma_1(t)\right]dx^2 \\ &+ \exp\left[2\alpha_1(t) + 2\sigma_1(t)\right]\left(dy^2 + dz^2\right) + \exp\left[2\beta_1(t)\right]du^2, \\ ds_{5d}^2(f_{ab}) &= -N_2^2(t)dt^2 + \exp\left[2\alpha_2(t) - 4\sigma_2(t)\right]dx^2 \\ &+ \exp\left[2\alpha_2(t) + 2\sigma_2(t)\right]\left(dy^2 + dz^2\right) + \exp\left[2\beta_2(t)\right]du^2, \end{aligned}$$

• Schwarzschild-Tangherlini black holes:

$$ds_{5d}^{2}(g_{\mu\nu}) = -N_{1}^{2}(t,r) dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{F_{1}^{2}(t,r)} + 2D_{1}(t,r) dtdr + \frac{r^{2}d\Omega_{3}^{2}}{H_{1}^{2}(t,r)},$$

$$ds_{5d}^{2}(f_{ab}) = -N_{2}^{2}(t,r) dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{F_{2}^{2}(t,r)} + 2D_{2}(t,r) dtdr + \frac{r^{2}d\Omega_{3}^{2}}{H_{2}^{2}(t,r)},$$

with $d\Omega_3^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2 + \sin^2\theta \sin^2\varphi d\psi^2$.

<ロト < 団ト < 目ト < 目ト < 目 > 目 のので 14 / 26

- FLRW: $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_M}{\partial N_2} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_M}{\partial a_2} = 0.$
- Bianchi type I: $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_M}{\partial N_2} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_M}{\partial \alpha_2} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_M}{\partial \sigma_2} = 0.$
- Schwarzschild-Tangherlini: $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_M}{\partial N_2} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_M}{\partial F_2} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_M}{\partial H_2} = 0.$
- Note again that the Euler-Lagrange equations $\Leftrightarrow t_{\mu\nu} = 0$.
- The constraint equations are non-linear algebraic equations \rightarrow we obtain several values of Λ_M [see the paper 1602.05672 for more details].
- Recall the Einstein field equations for physical metric:

$$(R_{\mu\nu}-rac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu})+\Lambda_Mg_{\mu\nu}=0.$$

• The corresponding solution for FLRW physical metric:

$$a_1(t) = \exp\left[\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda_M}{6}}t\right].$$

ふして 山田 ・山田・山田・山口・

15/26

• The corresponding solutions for the Bianchi type I physical metric:

$$\begin{split} V_1 &\equiv \exp\left[3\alpha_1\right] = \exp\left[3\alpha_0\right] \left[\cosh\left(3\tilde{H}_1t\right) + \frac{\dot{\alpha}_0}{\tilde{H}_1}\sinh\left(3\tilde{H}_1t\right)\right],\\ V_2 &\equiv \exp\left[\beta_1\right] = \exp\left[\beta_0\right] \left[\cosh\left(3\bar{H}_1t\right) + \frac{\dot{\beta}_0}{3\bar{H}_1}\sinh\left(3\bar{H}_1t\right)\right],\\ \sigma_1 &= \sigma_0 + \sqrt{\dot{\alpha}_0^2 + \dot{\alpha}_0\dot{\beta}_0 - H_1^2} \times \int \left\{ \left[\cosh\left(3\tilde{H}_1t\right) + \frac{\dot{\alpha}_0}{\tilde{H}_1}\sinh\left(3\tilde{H}_1t\right)\right] \times \left[\cosh\left(3\tilde{H}_1t\right) + \frac{\dot{\beta}_0}{3\bar{H}_1}\sinh\left(3\bar{H}_1t\right)\right] \right\}^{-1} dt, \end{split}$$

with with $\tilde{H}_1^2 = 4H_1^2/9(1-V_0)$, $\bar{H}_1^2 = V_0\tilde{H}_1^2$, and $H_1^2 \equiv \frac{\Lambda_M}{3}$. Additionally, $\alpha_0, \ \dot{\alpha}_0, \ \dot{\beta}_0, \ \dot{\beta}_0, \ \sigma_0 \sim \text{initial values.}$

・ロト ・回 ト ・ヨト ・ヨト ・ヨ

16/26

• The Schwarzschild-Tangherlini solution [Tangherlini, Nuovo Cimento 27(1963)636] to the 5D massive gravity:

$$ds^{2} = -f(r)dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{f(r)} + r^{2}d\Omega_{3}^{2},$$

$$N_{1}^{2}(t,r) = F_{1}^{2}(t,r) = f(r) = 1 - \frac{\mu}{r^{2}} - \frac{\Lambda_{M}}{6}r^{2},$$

$$H_{1}^{2}(t,r) = 1, \ D_{1}^{2}(t,r) = 0.$$

where $\mu = \frac{8G_5M}{3\pi} \sim$ mass parameter, $M \sim$ the mass of source, and $G_5 \sim 5D$ Newton constant.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ | 目 | のへの

17/26

• $\Lambda_M > 0$ (< 0) ~ Schwarzschild-Tangherlini-(Anti-) de Sitter metric.

• The action of five-dimensional massive bi-gravity [1604.07568]:

$$S_{5d} = M_g^2 \int d^5 x \sqrt{g} R(g) + M_f^2 \int d^5 x \sqrt{f} R(f) + 2m^2 M_{eff}^2 \int d^5 x \sqrt{g} \left(\mathcal{U}_2 + \alpha_3 \mathcal{U}_3 + \alpha_4 \mathcal{U}_4 + \alpha_5 \mathcal{U}_5 \right),$$

where

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{U}_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \Big\{ [\mathcal{K}]^2 - [\mathcal{K}^2] \Big\}, \ \mathcal{U}_3 = \frac{1}{6} \Big\{ [\mathcal{K}]^3 - 3[\mathcal{K}][\mathcal{K}^2] + 2[\mathcal{K}^3] \Big\}, \\ \mathcal{U}_4 &= \frac{1}{24} \Big\{ [\mathcal{K}]^4 - 6[\mathcal{K}]^2[\mathcal{K}^2] + 3[\mathcal{K}^2]^2 + 8[\mathcal{K}][\mathcal{K}^3] - 6[\mathcal{K}^4] \Big\}, \\ \mathcal{U}_5 &= \frac{\mathcal{L}_5}{2} = \frac{1}{120} \Big\{ [\mathcal{K}]^5 - 10[\mathcal{K}]^3[\mathcal{K}^2] + 20[\mathcal{K}]^2[\mathcal{K}^3] - 20[\mathcal{K}^2][\mathcal{K}^3] \\ &+ 15[\mathcal{K}][\mathcal{K}^2]^2 - 30[\mathcal{K}][\mathcal{K}^4] + 24[\mathcal{K}^5] \Big\}. \end{split}$$

э

・ロン ・四 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と

• The Einstein field equations for physical metric (identical to ones for massive gravity):

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{M}_{g}^{2} \left(\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R}_{g\mu\nu} \right) + m^{2} \mathcal{M}_{\text{eff}}^{2} \mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu}^{(5)}(g) = 0, \\ & \mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu}^{(5)}(g) = \chi_{\mu\nu}^{(5)} + \sigma Y_{\mu\nu}^{(5)} + \alpha_{5} W_{\mu\nu}, \\ & \chi_{\mu\nu}^{(5)} = -\left(\alpha \mathcal{U}_{2} + \beta \mathcal{U}_{3} \right) g_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{\chi}_{\mu\nu}^{(5)}, \\ & \tilde{\chi}_{\mu\nu}^{(5)} = \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu} - [\mathcal{K}] g_{\mu\nu} - \alpha \Big\{ \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu}^{2} - [\mathcal{K}] \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu} \Big\} + \beta \Big\{ \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu}^{3} - [\mathcal{K}] \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu}^{2} + \mathcal{U}_{2} \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu} \Big\}, \\ & Y_{\mu\nu}^{(5)} = -\mathcal{U}_{4} g_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{Y}_{\mu\nu}^{(5)}, \\ & \tilde{Y}_{\mu\nu}^{(5)} = \mathcal{U}_{3} \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu} - \mathcal{U}_{2} \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu}^{2} + [\mathcal{K}] \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu}^{3} - \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu}^{4}, \\ & \mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu} = -\mathcal{U}_{5} g_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}, \\ & \tilde{W}_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{U}_{4} \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu} - \mathcal{U}_{3} \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu}^{2} + \mathcal{U}_{2} \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu}^{3} - [\mathcal{K}] \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu}^{4} + \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu}^{5}. \end{split}$$

• The Einstein-like field equations for reference metric:

$$\begin{split} &\sqrt{f} M_{f}^{2} \left(R_{\mu\nu}(f) - \frac{1}{2} f_{\mu\nu} R(f) \right) + \sqrt{g} m^{2} M_{\text{eff}}^{2} s_{\mu\nu}^{(5)}(f) = 0, \\ &s_{\mu\nu}^{(5)}(f) \equiv -\hat{\mathcal{K}}_{\mu\nu} + \left\{ [\mathcal{K}] + \alpha_{3} \mathcal{U}_{2} + \alpha_{4} \mathcal{U}_{3} + \alpha_{5} \mathcal{U}_{4} \right\} f_{\mu\nu} + \alpha \left\{ \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{\mu\nu}^{2} - [\mathcal{K}] \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{\mu\nu} \right\} \\ &- \beta \left\{ \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{\mu\nu}^{3} - [\mathcal{K}] \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{\mu\nu}^{2} + \mathcal{U}_{2} \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{\mu\nu} \right\} - \sigma \left\{ \mathcal{U}_{3} \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{\mu\nu} - \mathcal{U}_{2} \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{\mu\nu}^{2} + [\mathcal{K}] \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{\mu\nu}^{3} - \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{\mu\nu}^{4} \right\} \\ &- \alpha_{5} \left\{ \mathcal{U}_{4} \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{\mu\nu} - \mathcal{U}_{3} \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{\mu\nu}^{2} + \mathcal{U}_{2} \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{\mu\nu}^{3} - [\mathcal{K}] \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{\mu\nu}^{4} + \hat{\mathcal{K}}_{\mu\nu}^{5} \right\}. \end{split}$$

• $\hat{\mathcal{K}}$'s are defined as $\hat{\mathcal{K}}_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{K}^{\sigma}_{\mu} f_{\sigma\nu}, \ \hat{\mathcal{K}}^{2}_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{K}^{\rho}_{\mu} \mathcal{K}^{\sigma}_{\rho} f_{\sigma\nu}$, and so on.

 These equations are differential, not algebraic as ones for the reference metric in the massive gravity → the massive graviton terms U_i's will not easily turn out to be effective constants → need the help of the Bianchi identities for both physical and reference metrics:

$$D_g^{\mu} G_{\mu\nu}(g) = 0 \rightarrow D_g^{\mu} \mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu}^{(5)}(g) = 0 \text{ (physical metric),}$$
$$D_f^{\mu} G_{\mu\nu}(f) = 0 \rightarrow D_f^{\mu} \left[\frac{\sqrt{g}}{\sqrt{f}} s_{\mu\nu}^{(5)}(f) \right] = 0 \text{ (reference metric),}$$
$$\underbrace{20/26}_{20/26}$$

 Solving these Bianchi constraint equations for the FLRW, Bianchi type I, and Schwarzschild-Tangherlini metrics will yield a solution:

 $f_{\mu\nu} = (1 - \mathcal{C})^2 g_{\mu\nu}$ (proportional to $g_{\mu\nu}$).

 $\bullet \ {\cal C}$ is a constant obeying the following algebraic equation:

$$\begin{split} \sigma \mathcal{C}^5 &- 2\left(\sigma - 2\beta\right)\mathcal{C}^4 + \left(\sigma - 8\beta + 6\alpha + \alpha_5 \tilde{M}^2\right)\mathcal{C}^3 \\ &+ 4\left(\beta - 3\alpha + \alpha_4 \tilde{M}^2 + 1\right)\mathcal{C}^2 + 2\left(3\alpha + 3\alpha_3 \tilde{M}^2 - 4\right)\mathcal{C} + 4\left(\tilde{M}^2 + 1\right) = 0, \\ \tilde{M}^2 &= \tilde{M}_g^2/\tilde{M}_f^2; \ \tilde{M}_g^2 &= M_g^2/(m^2 M_{\text{eff}}^2); \ \tilde{M}_f^2 &= M_f^2/(m^2 M_{\text{eff}}^2). \end{split}$$

• Once C is solved, the corresponding value of effective cosmological constant $\Lambda_M \equiv -m^2 M_{\text{eff}}^2 \mathcal{U}_M$ will be defined as:

$$\begin{split} \Lambda_{\mathcal{M}} &= -m^2 M_{\text{eff}}^2 \mathcal{C} \left[\left(\sigma \mathcal{C}^3 + 4\beta \mathcal{C}^2 + 6\alpha \mathcal{C} + 4 \right) \right. \\ &+ \left(\mathcal{C} - 1 \right) \left(\alpha_5 \mathcal{C}^3 + 4\alpha_4 \mathcal{C}^2 + 6\alpha_3 \mathcal{C} + 4 \right) \right] . \\ &= \Lambda_0^g \left[M_g^2 + M_f^2 (1 - \mathcal{C})^3 \right] \neq \Lambda_0^g M_g^2. \end{split}$$

• Note that in massive gravity, $\Lambda_M = M_g^2 \Lambda_0^g$ due to $M_f = 0$.

• For the FLRW metric:

$$a_1(t) = \exp\left[\sqrt{\Lambda_0^g/6t}\right]; a_2(t) = (1-\mathcal{C})a_1(t).$$

• For the Bianchi type I metric:

$$\begin{split} \exp[3\alpha_{1}] &= \exp[3\alpha_{01}] \left[\cosh\left(3\tilde{H}_{1}t\right) + \frac{\dot{\alpha}_{01}}{\tilde{H}_{1}} \sinh\left(3\tilde{H}_{1}t\right) \right], \\ \exp[\beta_{1}] &= \exp[\beta_{01}] \left[\cosh\left(3\bar{H}_{1}t\right) + \frac{\dot{\beta}_{01}}{3\bar{H}_{1}} \sinh\left(3\bar{H}_{1}t\right) \right], \\ \sigma_{1} &= \sigma_{01} + \sqrt{\dot{\alpha}_{01}^{2} + \dot{\alpha}_{01}\dot{\beta}_{01} - \frac{\Lambda_{0}^{g}}{3}} \int \left\{ \left[\cosh\left(3\tilde{H}_{1}t\right) + \frac{\dot{\alpha}_{01}}{\tilde{H}_{1}} \sinh\left(3\tilde{H}_{1}t\right) \right] \right\}^{-1} dt. \\ &\times \left[\cosh\left(3\bar{H}_{1}t\right) + \frac{\dot{\beta}_{01}}{3\bar{H}_{1}} \sinh\left(3\bar{H}_{1}t\right) \right] \right\}^{-1} dt. \\ \exp[\alpha_{2}] &= (1 - \mathcal{C}) \exp[\alpha_{1}]; \ \exp[\beta_{2}] = (1 - \mathcal{C}) \exp[\beta_{1}]; \\ \sigma_{2} &= \sigma_{1}, \\ \tilde{H}_{1}^{2} &= 4\Lambda_{0}^{g}/27(1 - V_{0}^{g}); \ \bar{H}_{1}^{2} &= V_{0}^{g}\tilde{H}_{1}^{2}. \end{split}$$

• For the Schwarzschild-Tangherlini black hole:

$$\begin{split} N_1^2(r) &= F_1^2(r) = f(r) = 1 - \frac{\mu}{r^2} - \frac{\Lambda_0^8}{6} r^2, \ H_1^2(r) = 1, \\ g_{\mu\nu}^{5d} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} &= -f(r) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)} + r^2 d\Omega_3^2, \\ f_{\mu\nu}^{5d} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} &= (1 - \mathcal{C})^2 \left[-f(r) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)} + r^2 d\Omega_3^2 \right]. \end{split}$$

V. Conclusions

- An effective method based on the Cayley-Hamilton theorem to construct arbitrary dimensional graviton potential terms has been proposed.
- We have shown that the five-dimensional massive (bi)gravity theories with additional massive graviton term \mathcal{L}_5 are indeed physically non-trivial.
- The nature of cosmological constant Λ_M can be realized in the context of massive (bi)gravity. In particular, all complicated massive terms in \mathcal{L}_M are behind in a simple constant Λ_M .
- We have found that some well-known metrics such as the FLRW, Bianchi type I, and Schwarzschild-Tangherlini spacetimes are indeed solutions of the five-dimensional massive (bi)gravity under assumptions that the physical metrics are compatible/proportional with/to the fiducial ones.

(Possible) further investigations

- A full ghost-free proof for higher dimensional massive (bi-)gravity [this task might be straightforward as claimed in Hassan, Schmidt-May & von Strauss, 1212.4525] ?
- The stability of Schwarzschild-Tangherlini-(A)dS black holes in the context of 5D massive (bi-)gravity ?
- The fate of cosmic no-hair conjecture in massive (bi-)gravity ?
- Higher-than-five dimensional scenarios of massive (bi-)gravity ?
- Gravitational waves in higher dimensional massive (bi-)gravity ?
- Bound of graviton mass in the massive (bi-)gravity [de Rham, Deskins, Tolley & Zhou, 1606.08462] ? Note that LIGO has announced a bound: $m_g < 1.2 \times 10^{-22} \ eV/c^2$ from data of GW150914 [1602.03837]. For a comparison: Mass of electron is given by $m_e \simeq 0.51 \times 10^6 \ eV/c^2$, Mass of neutrino is determined as $m_{\nu} = 0.320 \pm 0.081 \ eV/c^2$.

Thank you for your attention!

