# Effective field theory approach to scalar-tensor inspiralling

#### Adrien Kuntz

With Federico Piazza, Filippo Vernizzi and Philippe Brax

Centre de Physique Théorique, Marseille

May 2019

< □ > < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□

#### Outline

- 1 Binary system overview
- 2 Building up the action
- 3 Conservative dynamics
- 4 Dissipative dynamics
- 5 Disformal coupling

#### 6 Conclusions

#### The object under study



#### Credit : LIGO/Virgo

#### Which observables ?



#### What if GR is not the ultimate theory ?





#### What if GR is not the ultimate theory ?

• Source : Dipolar radiation  $\Rightarrow \delta \omega(t)$  (Eardley 1975), PN coefficients



- Propagation :
- Speed  $|c_T c| < 10^{-15}$  LIGO/Virgo 2017



#### What if GR is not the ultimate theory ?

• Source : Dipolar radiation  $\Rightarrow \delta \omega(t)$  (Eardley 1975), PN coefficients



- Propagation :
- Speed  $|c_T c| < 10^{-15}$  LIGO/Virgo 2017
- ${
  m Damping} \Rightarrow d_L^{GW} 
  eq d_L^{EM}$  Deffayet, Menou 07 ; Amendola



et al 17, etc

EFT for GW

#### What if GR is not the ultimate theory ?

• Source : Dipolar radiation  $\Rightarrow \delta \omega(t)$  (Eardley 1975), PN coefficients



- Propagation :
- Speed  $|c_T c| < 10^{-15}$  LIGO/Virgo 2017
- ${
  m Damping} \Rightarrow d_L^{GW} 
  eq d_L^{EM}$  Deffayet, Menou 07 ; Amendola

et al 17, etc

 $\bullet~ Decay~ of~ gravitons~ {\mbox{Creminelly}},$  Lewandoski, Tambalo,

Vernizzi 18



A. Kuntz (CPT)

05/2019 5/3

## What if GR is not the ultimate theory ?

#### • Detection : Additional polarization



Hardly detectable, low amplitude and needs at least 3 GW detectors !

| Α. | Kuntz ( | (CPT) |
|----|---------|-------|
|    |         |       |

#### Some orders of magnitude



#### Some orders of magnitude



- $\bullet~{\rm VIRGO/LIGO}~{\rm band}$  : 10Hz 1kHz
- $r(10 \text{Hz}) \sim 300 \text{ km} \rightarrow r(1 \text{kHz}) \sim 14 \text{ km}$
- $v(10\text{Hz}) \sim 0.06 \rightarrow v(1\text{kHz}) \sim 0.3$
- $t \sim 5 \min$ .

• 
$$\Phi = 2 \int_{t_i}^{t_f} \omega(t) dt \sim 4 \times 10^4 \text{ rad}$$
  
 $\Rightarrow \Phi \text{ to 3PN } !$ 

#### Perturbative solution of the EOM

Conventional PN calculations :

 $\Box h^{\mu\nu} = -16\pi G T^{\mu\nu} \Rightarrow$  Solve for  $h^{\mu\nu} \Rightarrow$  Plug back in the action

#### Perturbative solution of the EOM

Conventional PN calculations :

$$\Box h^{\mu\nu} = -16\pi G T^{\mu\nu} \Rightarrow$$
 Solve for  $h^{\mu\nu} \Rightarrow$  Plug back in the action

EFT approach :

$$e^{iS_{\rm ef}} = \int \mathcal{D}[h_{\mu\nu}]e^{iS}$$



Goldberger and Rothstein (2006) Porto (2006) + many developments...

05/2019 8 / 31

#### Outline

- 1 Binary system overview
- 2 Building up the action
- 3 Conservative dynamics
- 4 Dissipative dynamics
- 5 Disformal coupling

#### 6 Conclusions

Building up the action

#### Invariances of the system



A. Kuntz (CPT)

EFT for GW

05/2019 10 / 3



•  $x^{\mu} \to x'^{\mu}(x) \Rightarrow$  Use R and  $g^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi$ 





## Point particles action

$$S = S_{grav} + S_{pp,1} + S_{pp,2}$$
$$S_{grav} = \frac{m_P^2}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g}R$$
$$S_{pp,a} = -m_a \int d\tau_a$$

A. Kuntz (CPT)

EFT for GW

05/2019 11 / 31

## Point particles action

$$S = S_{grav} + S_{pp,1} + S_{pp,2}$$

$$S_{grav} = \frac{m_P^2}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g}R - \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi$$

$$S_{pp,a} = -m_a \int d\tau_a + \frac{m_a}{m_P} \int d\tau_a\phi + b\frac{m_a}{m_P^2} \int d\tau_a\phi^2 + \dots$$

EFT for GW

#### 'Quantum' gravity

Integrate out fluctuating fields :

$$e^{iS_{\text{ef}}[\mathbf{x}_1(t),\mathbf{x}_2(t)]} = \int \mathcal{D}[h_{\mu\nu}]\mathcal{D}[\phi]e^{iS[\mathbf{x}_1(t),\mathbf{x}_2(t),h_{\mu\nu},\phi]}$$

 $S_{\rm ef}$  contains the dynamics of the point-particles only

#### 'Quantum' gravity

Integrate out fluctuating fields :

$$e^{iS_{\text{ef}}[\mathbf{x}_1(t),\mathbf{x}_2(t)]} = \int \mathcal{D}[h_{\mu\nu}]\mathcal{D}[\phi]e^{iS[\mathbf{x}_1(t),\mathbf{x}_2(t),h_{\mu\nu},\phi]}$$

 $S_{\rm ef}$  contains the dynamics of the point-particles only

$$\Re(S_{\text{ef}}) = \int dt L[\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{v}_a]$$
 Conservative dynamics

and

$$\Im(S_{ef}) = \frac{T}{2} \int dE d\Omega \frac{d^2 \Gamma}{dE d\Omega} \quad \text{Dissipative dynamics}$$

#### Outline

- 1 Binary system overview
- 2 Building up the action
- 3 Conservative dynamics
- 4 Dissipative dynamics
- 5 Disformal coupling

#### 6 Conclusions

# $v^0$ (or Newtonian) Lagrangian



Figure: Feynman diagrams contributing to the Newtonian potential

# $v^0$ (or Newtonian) Lagrangian



Figure: Feynman diagrams contributing to the Newtonian potential



$$L_{v^0} = \frac{1}{2}m_1v_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}m_2v_2^2 + \frac{G_Nm_1m_2}{|\mathbf{x_1}(t) - \mathbf{x_2}(t)|}(1 + 2a^2)$$

# $v^0$ (or Newtonian) Lagrangian



Figure: Feynman diagrams contributing to the Newtonian potential



$$L_{v^{0}} = \frac{1}{2}m_{1}v_{1}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}m_{2}v_{2}^{2} + \frac{G_{N}m_{1}m_{2}}{|\mathbf{x}_{1}(t) - \mathbf{x}_{2}(t)|}(1 + 2a^{2})$$

$$\tilde{G} = G_{N}(1 + 2a^{2})$$

# $v^2$ (or EIH) Lagrangian



Figure: Some Feynman diagrams contributing to the  $v^2$  Lagrangian

# $v^2$ (or EIH) Lagrangian



# $v^2$ (or EIH) Lagrangian







# $v^2$ (or EIH) Lagrangian







$$\begin{split} L_{EIH} &= \frac{1}{8} \sum_{a} m_{a} v_{a}^{4} \\ &+ \frac{\tilde{G}m_{1}m_{2}}{2|\mathbf{x}_{12}|} \left[ (v_{1}^{2} + v_{2}^{2}) - 3\mathbf{v}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{2} - \frac{(\mathbf{v}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{12})(\mathbf{v}_{2} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{12})}{|\mathbf{x}_{12}|^{2}} + 2\gamma(\mathbf{v}_{1} - \mathbf{v}_{2})^{2} \right] \\ &- \frac{\tilde{G}^{2}m_{1}m_{2}(m_{1} + m_{2})}{2|\mathbf{x}_{12}|^{2}} (2\beta - 1) \end{split}$$

#### Renormalization of the mass



Figure: Diagrams contributing to the mass renormalization.

#### Renormalization of the mass



Figure: Diagrams contributing to the mass renormalization.

$$-m_{\rm bare} \int dt \quad \rightarrow \quad -(m_{\rm bare} + E(\Lambda)) \int dt$$

#### Renormalization of the mass



Figure: Diagrams contributing to the mass renormalization.

$$-m_{\rm bare} \int dt \quad \rightarrow \quad -(m_{\rm bare} + E(\Lambda)) \int dt$$

$$E(\Lambda) = -\frac{\tilde{G}}{2} \int d^3x d^3y \frac{\rho(\mathbf{x})\rho(\mathbf{y})}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|}$$



## Renormalization of the charge



Figure: Diagrams contributing to the charge renormalization.

## Renormalization of the charge

A. Kuntz



Figure: Diagrams contributing to the charge renormalization.

$$a_{\text{bare}} \frac{m_{\text{bare}}}{m_P} \int dt \, \phi \longrightarrow a(\Lambda) \frac{m(\Lambda)}{m_P} \int dt \, \phi$$
(CPT) EFT for GW 05/2019 18/31

# Renormalization of the charge

$$\begin{cases} a_{\text{bare}} \to a(\Lambda) \\ \tilde{G} = G_N(1+2a^2) \end{cases}$$

# Renormalization of the charge

$$\begin{cases} a_{\text{bare}} \to a(\Lambda) \\ \tilde{G} = G_N(1+2a^2) \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{G}_{AB} = \tilde{G} \left[ 1 + (4\tilde{\beta} - \tilde{\gamma} - 3) \left( \frac{E_A}{m_A} + \frac{E_B}{m_B} \right) \right]$$

A. Kuntz (CPT)

05/2019 19/31

#### Renormalization of the charge



05/2019 19/3

#### Outline

- 1 Binary system overview
- 2 Building up the action
- 3 Conservative dynamics
- 4 Dissipative dynamics
- 5 Disformal coupling

#### 6 Conclusions

#### Multipole expansion



Figure: Some Feynman diagrams for the emission of one radiation scalar.

#### Multipole expansion



Figure: Some Feynman diagrams for the emission of one radiation scalar.

Net result :

$$S_{\rm int} = \frac{1}{2} \int dt I_h^{ij} R_{0i0j} + \frac{1}{m_P} \int dt \left( I_\phi \bar{\phi} + I_\phi^i \partial_i \bar{\phi} + \frac{1}{2} I_\phi^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j \bar{\phi} \right) + \dots$$

## Radiated power

Quadrupole formula :

$$P_h = \frac{G_N}{5} \left\langle I_h^{ij^2} \right\rangle + \dots$$



#### Radiated power

 $\label{eq:Quadrupole formula:} Quadrupole formula:$ 

$$P_h = \frac{G_N}{5} \left\langle I_h^{ij} \right\rangle + \dots$$



Monopole, dipole and quadrupole scalar radiation :

$$P_{\phi} = 2G_N\left(\left\langle \dot{I_{\phi}}^2 \right\rangle + \frac{1}{3}\left\langle \ddot{I_{\phi}}^2 \right\rangle + \frac{1}{30}\left\langle \dot{I_{\phi}}^{ij^2} \right\rangle + \dots \right)$$

#### Radiated power

Quadrupole formula :

$$P_h = \frac{G_N}{5} \left\langle I_h^{ij} \right\rangle + \dots$$



Monopole, dipole and quadrupole scalar radiation :

$$P_{\phi} = 2G_N\left(\left\langle \dot{I_{\phi}}^2 \right\rangle + \frac{1}{3}\left\langle \ddot{I_{\phi}}^2 \right\rangle + \frac{1}{30}\left\langle \dot{I_{\phi}}^{ij^2} \right\rangle + \dots \right)$$

 $I_{\phi} = \text{Const} + v^2 \text{correction}, I^i_{\phi} \propto a_1 - a_2$ 

#### Outline

- 1 Binary system overview
- 2 Building up the action
- 3 Conservative dynamics
- 4 Dissipative dynamics
- 5 Disformal coupling

#### 6) Conclusions



 $\lambda_a \to \lambda_a'(\lambda_a) \Rightarrow$  Use  $d\tau = \sqrt{g_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu}}$ 



EFT for GW

## Bekenstein disformal coupling ('92)

Are there other ways to couple matter and still preserve causality and the equivalence principle ?

## Bekenstein disformal coupling ('92)

Are there other ways to couple matter and still preserve causality and the equivalence principle ?

| Finsler geometry : disformal coupling   |  |
|---|--|
| $	ilde{g}_{\mu u} = A(\phi)g_{\mu u} + rac{B(\phi)}{M^2}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_ u\phi$ |  |

## Bekenstein disformal coupling ('92)

Are there other ways to couple matter and still preserve causality and the equivalence principle ?

| Finsler geometry : disformal coupling  |
|--|
| $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = A(\phi)g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{B(\phi)}{M^2}\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi$ |

$$\int d\tilde{\tau} \supset \int d\tau \left(\frac{d\phi}{d\tau}\right)^2$$

## The disformal energy



#### Figure: Disformal conservative diagram

A. Kuntz (CPT)

EFT for GW

05/2019 26 / 31

## The disformal energy



Figure: Disformal conservative diagram

$$L_{\rm dis} = 4a^2 \frac{G_N^2 m_1 m_2 (m_1 + m_2)}{M^2} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{r}\right)^2, \quad r = |\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2|$$

A. Kuntz (CPT)

05/2019 26 / 3

Disformal coupling

#### The disformal dissipated power



#### Figure: Disformal dissipative diagram

A. Kuntz (CPT)

EFT for GW

05/2019 27 / 32

Disformal coupling

#### The disformal dissipated power



Figure: Disformal dissipative diagram

Disformal monopole :

$$I_{\rm dis} = 8a \frac{G_N m_1 m_2}{M^2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \frac{1}{r}$$

#### Circular orbits

$$\dot{r} = 0 \Rightarrow L_{\rm dis} = I_{\rm dis} = 0 !$$

## Circular orbits

$$\dot{r} = 0 \Rightarrow L_{\rm dis} = I_{\rm dis} = 0 !$$



Radiation reaction

$$\Rightarrow L_{\rm dis} = \mathcal{O}(v^{14}), \quad I_{\rm dis} = \mathcal{O}(v^{12})$$

A. Kuntz (CPT)

05/2019 28 / 3

#### Outline



#### Conclusions

- Binary systems : ideal probe of strong-field GR
- Effective theory point of view : operators allowed by symmetries
- Disformal couplings : no effect on circular orbits

#### Conclusions

A. Kuntz (CPT)

EFT for GW

05/2019 31 / 31

∃ \_ ��� @