Signatures of Primordial Gravitational Waves on the Large-Scale Structure of the Universe

Pritha Bari

University of Padova Department of Physics and Astronomy Spontaneous Workshop XIV

IESC Cargese, France

May 10, 2022



- Signatures of Primordial Gravitational Waves on the Large-Scale Structure of the Universe (P. Bari, A. Ricciardone, N. Bartolo, D. Bertacca & S. Matarrese, arxiv:2111.06884)
- Signatures of Primordial Gravitational Waves on the Large-Scale Structure of the Universe: A Complete Study (P.Bari *et. al*, in prep.)

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Introduction



Figure 1: Inflation generates inhomogeneities which can explain the observed large-scale structures (LSS). (Liddle and Leach 2003)

Figure 2: The linear matter power spectrum (at z = 0) inferred from different cosmological probes.(Planck 2018 results, Aghanim et. al.)

10-2

Planck TI

S DR7 LRG BOSS DR9 Ly-α forest

DES Y1 cosmic shear

Wavenumber $k [h \text{ Mpc}^{-1}]$

10-1

100



104

10²

10¹

100 L 10-4

10-3

 $P_{\rm m}(k) \left[(h^{-1} {\rm Mpc})^3 \right]$ 10 Effect of GW on LSS:

- Long-wavelength tensor fossils generate a local anisotropy in LSS (Jeong & Kamionkowski 2012).
- GWs induce volume distortion to affect the observed angular positions and redshifts of LSS (Jeong & Schmidt 2012).

Can we find another imprint?

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

 On small and intermediate scales, second-order metric perturbations come into play

 $\longrightarrow \mathsf{mode-mixing}\ \mathsf{happens}$

 \longrightarrow perturbations can be composed of other kinds of perturbations of lower orders.

- For example, scalar induced gravitational waves are thoroughly investigated over the years (Tomita 1967, Matarrese et. al 1998...).
- We study the opposite effect here, generating matter-density perturbations from the primordial tensor modes

 → can provide a new way to constrain GW parameters.

イロト イヨト イヨト

We consider-

 Collisionless cold dark matter plus a cosmological constant for the matter content

co-moving, synchronous, and time-orthogonal gauge

$$ds^{2} = a^{2}(\eta)[-d\eta^{2} + \gamma_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x},\eta)dx^{i}dx^{j}]$$

where $\gamma_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \chi_{ij}^{(1)T} + \frac{1}{2} \left(-2\phi^{(2)}\delta_{ij} + D_{ij}\chi^{(2)\parallel} \right)$, $\eta \rightarrow \text{conformal time, } a(\eta) \rightarrow \text{scale factor.}$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Second order density contrast from first order tensor modes

Evolution equation of the second order density contrast (Matarrese et. al 1998, Bruni et. al 2014)-

$$\delta^{(2)''} + \mathcal{H}\delta^{(2)'} - 4\pi G a^2 \overline{\rho}_m \delta^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \chi'^{ij} \chi'_{ij} \,.$$

 \Rightarrow no super-horizon contribution!

 \Rightarrow essentially a linear effect, sourced by the gravitational radiation only on subhorizon scales (See Wu *et al* 2007 for an analogous effect sourced by EM radiation):

$$\rho_{GW} = \frac{1}{32\pi Ga^2} \langle \chi'^{ij} \chi'_{ij} \rangle$$

イロト イボト イヨト イヨ

Focusing on matter domination only, we have, for the Fourier space density contrast,

$$\begin{split} \delta^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{k},\eta) &= \sum_{\sigma,\sigma'} \int \frac{d^3 \boldsymbol{k}_2}{\left(2\pi\right)^3} A_{\sigma'}(\boldsymbol{k}_2) A_{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{k}_2) \\ &\times \epsilon_{ij}^{\sigma'}(\hat{\boldsymbol{k}}_2) \epsilon^{\sigma i j}(\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{k}_2) \\ &\times \left[\frac{\eta^2}{10} \int_0^\eta \frac{d\tilde{\eta}}{\tilde{\eta}} \left(\frac{3j_1(k_2\tilde{\eta})}{k_2\tilde{\eta}} \right)' \left(\frac{3j_1(|\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{k}_2|\tilde{\eta})}{|\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{k}_2|\tilde{\eta}} \right)' \\ &- \frac{1}{10\eta^3} \int_0^\eta d\tilde{\eta} \, \tilde{\eta}^4 \left(\frac{3j_1(k_2\tilde{\eta})}{k_2\tilde{\eta}} \right)' \left(\frac{3j_1(|\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{k}_2|\tilde{\eta})}{|\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{k}_2|\tilde{\eta}} \right)' \right] \, . \end{split}$$

 $\epsilon^{\sigma}_{ij}(\hat{k})
ightarrow$ polarisation tensor $A_{\sigma}(k)
ightarrow$ GW stochastic variable

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- ▶ On CMB scales, r is tightly constrained (r < 0.032, BK18 + PR4). CMB-S4, LiteBIRD → more accuracy (r < 0.001).
- Moving away from CMB scales, we have less stringent bounds on the amplitude of the GW spectrum, which allows us to choose larger values for their amplitude.
- Many mechanisms can produce a large GW background at small scales (gauge field coupling, PBH...)

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Models of GW sources

• Blue-tilted spectrum (
$$n_T = 0.2$$
)

$$\Delta_T^2(k) = A_T \left(\frac{k}{k_*}\right)^{n_T}$$

Monochromatic source

$$\Delta_{T}^{2}\left(k\right) = A_{T}\delta_{D}\Big(\ln\frac{k}{k_{*}}\Big)$$

$$egin{split} \Delta_{\delta}^2(k) &= 4 imes 10^{-5} ig(k \, \eta_0ig)^4 A_T^2 \ & imes igg(rac{8k_*^2}{k^2} + rac{k^6}{16k_*^6} - rac{k^4}{2k_*^4} + 3rac{k^2}{k_*^2} - 8igg) \,\Theta(2k_* - k) \end{split}$$

► Gaussian-bump spectrum

$$\Delta_T^2(k) = A_T \left(\frac{k}{k_*}\right)^{n_T} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \ln^2\left(\frac{k}{k_p}\right)}$$

Comparison with linear power spectrum



Figure 3: Impact of different GW power spectra on the matter power spectrum $P_{\delta}(k) = (2\pi^2/k^3)\Delta_{\delta}^2(k)$: *i*) blue-tilted $(A_T = 5 \times 10^{-7}, n_T = 0.2)$, *ii*) monochromatic $(A_T = 10^{-5}, k_* = 0.005 \text{Mpc}^{-1})$, *iii*) Gaussian bump $(A_T = 10^{-5}, \sigma = 2, k_* = 0.005 \text{Mpc}^{-1}, k_p = 0.05 \text{Mpc}^{-1})$.

 $P_{\delta} (z = 0, \Omega_m = 0.32) \simeq 0.59 P_{\delta} (z = 0, \Omega_m = 1)$ considering growth suppression

イロト イボト イヨト イヨ



Figure 4: Parameter space at $k = 0.006 Mpc^{-1}$ for n_T and A_T for a power-law GW spectrum, assuming a 4% error uncertainty on the linear matter power spectrum.

・ロト ・日下・ ・ ヨト・

We consider

co-moving (with CDM) and time-orthogonal gauge

$$ds^{2} = a^{2}(\eta) \left[-(1+2\psi)d\eta^{2} + \gamma_{ij}(\mathbf{x},\eta)dx^{i}dx^{j} \right],$$

where

•

$$\begin{split} \psi &= \frac{\psi^{(2)}}{2}, \\ \gamma_{ij} &= \delta_{ij} + \chi^{(1)}_{ij} - \phi^{(2)} \delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} D_{ij} \chi^{(2)||} \end{split}$$

• Conservation equation \rightarrow synchronous gauge regained!

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨ

	Radiation domination	
Dominant compo-	Deep RD	Intermediate phase
nent		
In background	radiation	radiation $+CDM$
Perturbation	$\delta \rho_{\rm r}^{(2)}$	$\delta ho_{ m m}^{(2)}$

Two phases

- deep radiation domination
- towards matter-radiation equality

イロト イヨト イヨト イ

$$F \equiv \frac{\delta^{(2)}\rho_m}{\delta^{(2)}\rho_r} = \frac{\bar{\rho}_m}{\bar{\rho}_r} \frac{\delta_m^{(2)}}{\delta_r^{(2)}}.$$
 (1)

 $\delta^{(2)}\rho_m$ becomes of the same order as $\delta^{(2)}\rho_r$ at $F \sim 1$, i.e. at a particular time $y_M(y = a/a_{eq} = \bar{\rho}_m/\bar{\rho}_r)$,

I

$$y_M \delta_m^{(2)}(y_M) = \delta_r^{(2)}(y_M).$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イ

Meszaros' equation with a source term quadratic in GWs.

$$\frac{d^2 \delta_{\mathrm{m}}^{(2)}}{dy^2} + \frac{2+3y}{2y(y+1)} \frac{d \delta_{\mathrm{m}}^{(2)}}{dy} - \frac{3}{2y(y+1)} \delta_{\mathrm{m}}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d \chi^{ij}}{dy} \frac{d \chi_{ij}}{dy}.$$

$$\delta^{(2)}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathbf{x},y) = P_1(\mathbf{x})D_1(y) + P_2(\mathbf{x})D_2(y) + rac{1}{2}\int d ilde{y}G(y, ilde{y})rac{d\chi^{ij}}{d ilde{y}}rac{d\chi_{ij}}{d ilde{y}},$$

▶ $P_1(\mathbf{x})$ and $P_2(\mathbf{x})$ can be found by matching $\delta_m^{(2)}$ and its derivative at y_{M} .

• • • • • • • • • • • •

- We analyzed a new effect of 'tensor-induced scalar modes' on the present day matter power spectrum, and found that a large GW power spectrum can leave a significant imprint.
- Our effect mimics the linear perturbation in the subhorizon limit, whereas completely vanishes in the superhorizon, leaving no CMB temperature anisotropy on large scales.
- We extend the study to radiation domination for a complete picture. We also intend to explore its high intrinsic non-Gaussianity in the future.

イロト イヨト イヨト

Thank You

gnatures of Primordial Gravitational Waves on the L

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト